

Entry 1 School Information

Last updated: 08/01/2016

Please be advised that you will need to complete this cover page (including signatures) <u>before</u> all of the other tasks assigned to you by your authorizer are visible on your task page. While completing this task, please ensure that you select the correct authorizer or you may not be assigned the correct tasks.

Page 1

a. SCHOOL NAME AND BEDS#

(Select name from the drop down menu)

BRONX CS FOR EXCELLENCE (SUNY TRUSTEES) 321100860859

b. CHARTER AUTHORIZER

(For technical reasons, please re-select authorizer name from the drop down menu).

SUNY-Authorized Charter School

c. DISTRICT / CSD OF LOCATION

NYC CSD 11

d1. SCHOOL INFORMATION

PRIMARY ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
1960 Benedict Avenue Bronx NY 10462	718-828-7301	718-828-7302	

d2. PHONE CONTACT NUMBER FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES

Contact Name	Charlene Reid
Title	Chief Executive Officer

Emergency Phone Number (###-###+##)	
-------------------------------------	--

e. SCHOOL WEB ADDRESS (URL)

www.bronxexcellence.org

f. DATE OF INITIAL CHARTER

07/2003

g. DATE FIRST OPENED FOR INSTRUCTION

08/2004

i. TOTAL ENROLLMENT ON JUNE 30, 2016

773

j. GRADES SERVED IN SCHOOL YEAR 2015-16

Check all that apply

Grades Served K, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

k1. DOES THE SCHOOL CONTRACT WITH A CHARTER OR EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION?

Yes

k2. NAME OF CMO/EMO AND ADDRESS

NAME OF CMO/EMO	Excellence Community Schools Inc.
PHYSICAL STREET ADDRESS	2090 7th Ave, Suite 605
CITY	New York

STATE	NY
ZIP CODE	10027
EMAIL ADDRESS	(No response)

Page 2

I1. FACILITIES

Does the school maintain or operate multiple sites?

	Yes, 2 sites
--	--------------

I2. SCHOOL SITES

Please list the sites where the school will operate for the upcoming school year.

	Physical Address	Phone Number	District/CSD	Grades Served at Site	School at Full Capacity at Site	Facilities Agreement
Site 1 (same as primary site)	1960 Benedict Avenue Bronx NY 10462	718-828- 7301	BRONX (TOTAL)	K-4	Yes	Own
Site 2	1804 Holland Avenue Bronx NY 10462	718-892- 1276	BRONX (TOTAL)	5-8	Yes	Rent/Lease
Site 3						

I2a. Please provide the contact information for Site 1.

	Name	Work Phone	Alternate Phone	Email Address
School Leader	Adije Okpo			
Operational Leader	Monica Rios			
Compliance Contact	Monica Rios			

Complaint Contact	Adije Okpo	718-828-7301	347-482-4511	ence.org

13. Please provide the contact information for Site 2.

	Name	Work Phone	Alternate Phone	Email Address
School Leader	Charlene Reid			
Operational Leader	Monica Rios			
Compliance Contact	Monica Rios			
Complaint Contact	Charlene Reid			

Page 3

n1. Were there any revisions to the school's charter during the 2015-16 school year? (Please include approved or pending material and non-material charter revisions).

No	
----	--

o. Name and Position of Individual(s) Who Completed the 2015-16 Annual Report.

Charlene Reid, CEO; Aleisha Rodriguez, Principal; Adije Okpo, Assistant Principal; Monica Rios, Regional Director of School Operations

p. Our signatures below attest that all of the information contained herein is truthful and accurate and that this charter school is in compliance with all aspects of its charter, and with all pertinent Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and rules. We understand that if any information in any part of this report is found to have been deliberately misrepresented, that will constitute grounds for the revocation of our charter. Check YES if you agree and then use the mouse on your PC or the stylist on your mobile device to sign your name).

Responses Selected:

Signature, Head of Charter School



Signature, President of the Board of Trustees



Date

2016/08/01

Thank you.



Last updated: 08/01/2016

Page 1

1. NEW YORK STATE REPORT CARD

Provide a direct URL or web link to the most recent New York State School Report Card for the charter school (See https://reportcards.nysed.gov/).

(Charter schools completing year one will not yet have a School Report Card or link to one. Please type "URL is not available" in the space provided).

https://data.nysed.gov/profile.php?instid=800000056707



Bronx Charter School for Excellence

2015-16 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:

September 23, 2016

By: Charlene Reid, Executive Director

Aleisha Rodriguez Burgos, Elementary Principal

Dawn Dean, Middle School Principal

Adije Okpo, Elementary School Assistant Principal

Monica Rios, Regional Director of School Operations

1960 Benedict Avenue, Bronx NY 10462

718-828-7301

INTRODUCTION

Charlene Reid, Executive Director, Aleisha Rodriguez Burgos, Elementary Principal, Dawn Dean, Middle Principal, Adije Okpo, Elementary Assistant Principal, Monica Rios, Regional Director of School Operations, prepared this 2015-2016 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the school's board of trustees:

Trustee's Name	Board Position
Stacey Lauren	Board Chair, Finance Committee,
	Education and Accountability
	Committee, Executive Committee
Mardi Schecter	Vice Chair, Secretary, Executive
	Committee
Deidre Flynn	Treasurer, Finance Committee,
	Executive Committee
Joyce Frost	Vice President, Finance Committee,
	Executive Committee
Tanya Osborne	Board (Member) Parent Association

Charlene Reid has served as the school leader since 2007.

INTRODUCTION

Opening in August 2004, Bronx Charter School for Excellence began an important and exciting public school alternative to serve children in the Parkchester section of the Bronx and its surrounding neighborhoods. In keeping with its original charter, the school grew one grade each year until it served Kindergarten through eighth grade. During the 2015-2016 school year served roughly 773 children in grades K-8.

The mission of the Bronx Charter School for Excellence is to prepare young people to compete for admission to and succeed in top public, private and parochial high schools by cultivating their intellectual, artistic, social, emotional and ethical development. The school accomplishes this by constantly striving to create a supportive and caring environment that at all times has high expectations of its students and offers a challenging and rigorous academic curriculum.

The demographics of the population served by BCSE are as follows:

Black (non Hispanic) 43.6%

Hispanic 34.4%

American Indian, Alaskan, Asian

or Pacific Islander 21.9%

White .1%

In addition, 75% of our students are eligible for free or reduced lunch.

	School Enrollment by Grade Level and School Year													
School Year	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
2011-12	56	56	56	56	58	56	50	50						438
2012-13	82	56	56	56	56	56	55	51	49					517
2013-14	84	84	56	56	56	56	56	56	50					554
2014-15	84	84	85	84	84	56	56	55	55					643
2015-16	91	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	52					

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Goal 1: English Language Arts

Students will become proficient readers of the English Language.

BACKGROUND

During the 2015-2016 academic year, Bronx Charter School for Excellence implemented a high quality comprehensive English Language Arts curriculum that is aligned to New York State's Common Core Learning Standards for K through 8th grades. The balanced reading program provides for students the foundation needed to become proficient readers. The reading program has balanced components that provide skills and knowledge to understand how phonemes are connected to print; ability to decode words; ability to read fluently; background knowledge and vocabulary to foster comprehension; development of active strategies to construct meaning from print; and development and maintenance of a motivation to read. Harcourt Journeys was used in K through 5th grades. This program is grounded in techniques and lessons that support explicit and systematic instruction and offers a platform for on-going professional development for teachers in the critical elements and methods of instruction for phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension strategies. The program also includes an assessment component that provides appropriate measures for screening, progress monitoring and achievement outcome. This program is supplemented with Guided Reading and Literature Circles based on student learning needs. Students are regularly exposed to authentic texts and provided guided instruction at their frustration levels. Teachers use multiple levels of Bloom's Taxonomy question stems to promote critical thinking. Additionally, instructional staff utilized modules from EngageNY which provide resources in alignment to the New York State Common Core Standards. All instructional staff participated in professional development workshops and ongoing modeling throughout the academic year to enhance their instructional skills.

The implementation of our reading program is effective because of the instructional strategy of uninterrupted, consistent daily flexible reading groups that focus support in a smaller group for struggling readers while offering enrichment activities for students who are proficient and advanced readers. Our writing program employs the basic components of Writing Workshop. Bronx Charter School for Excellence utilizes the writing workshop model for students to experience processed and craft writing. The Writing Workshop provides time for students to develop their writing fluency; to learn to communicate effectively; develop students' knowledge of the English written language system, with an emphasis on syntax and discourse; to understand the connections between reading and writing to develop writers; to understand and be able to write across various genres; and to develop a love of writing. All instructional staff participated in professional development, modeling, and Peer Learning Communities (PLCs) throughout the academic year.

The middle school program will continue this work with our scholars as they progress through their academic career. Ninety minutes are allocated for ELA each day, with a minimum of 50 minutes devoted to reading. For reading, a published program is used in concert with award winning

novels.* The Harcourt *Collections* program was used this year in grades six through eight, and is directly aligned with New York State's Common Core Learning Standards to help ensure accurate content delivery and support in preparation for the NYS ELA assessment given in each grade. A wide variety of genres, word study/vocabulary enrichment, differentiated material and connections to the Writers Workshop allow for balanced instruction. In addition, each unit begins with essential questions that usher students from knowledge and understanding to synthesis and evaluation. The award winning novels are directly tied to broader concepts and themes taught in other disciplines. Therefore, not only are reading engagement and stamina increased, but so are the connections that allow scholars to make better meaning and sense of the world around them. A minimum of 4 novels are taught each year.

The Writers Workshop builds upon the writing that scholars produced in the elementary years. Narrative, persuasive, informational and poetic writing are developed through the writing process to create substantive, craft rich pieces. However, there is an added emphasis on functional writings due to their prevalence in the academic world. To meet this need, Writers Workshop is taught for a minimum of 45 minutes each day, of which at least one period per week will focus on explicit grammar, usage, or mechanics instruction.

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above proficiency on the New York State English language arts examination for grades 3-8.

METHOD

The school administered the New York State Testing Program English language arts ("ELA") assessment to students in 3rd through 8th grade in April 2016. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level.

The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that this table includes all students according to grade level, even if they have not enrolled in at least their second year (defined as enrolled by BEDS day of the previous school year).

2015 16 State English Language Arts Exam Number of Students Tested and Not Tested

Grade	Total			Total		
Grade	Tested	IEP	ELL	Absent	Refused	Enrolled
3	89	0	0	0	0	89
4	90	0	0	0	0	90
5	90	0	0	0	0	90
6	89	0	0	0	1	90
7	90	0	0	0	0	90
8	52	0	0	0	1	53

¹ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam.

All	500	0	0	0	2	502

RESULTS

The following table presents the New York State ELA test results for all students tested and for those students enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 89 students tested in Grade 3, 82 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 82 continuously enrolled 3rd graders, 60.0% performed at or above a Scale Score of 320 on the New York State English Language Arts examination. Of the 90 students tested in Grade 4, 77 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 77 continuously enrolled 4th graders, 75.3% performed at or above a Scale Score of 320 on the New York State English Language Arts examination. Of the 90 students tested in Grade 5, 80 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 80 continuously enrolled 5th graders, 61.3% performed at or above a Scale Score of 320 on the New York State English Language Arts examination. Of the 90 students tested in Grade 6, 52 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 52 continuously enrolled 6th graders, 75.0% performed at or above a Scale Score of 320 on the New York State English Language Arts examination. Of the 90 students tested in Grade 7, 52 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 52 continuously enrolled 7th graders, 71.1% performed at or above a Scale Score of 318 on the New York State English Language Arts examination. Of the 52 students tested in Grade 8, 52 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 52 continuously enrolled 8th graders, 65.4% performed at or above a scale score of 316 on the New York State English Language Arts examination.

Performance on 2015 16	State English Language Arts Exam
By All Students and Students	Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grades	All Stud	dents	Enrolled in at least their Second Year		
Grades	Percent Proficient	Number Tested	Percent Proficient	Number Tested	
3	60.0	89	60.0	82	
4	73.3	90	75.3	77	
5	60.0	90	61.3	80	
6	70.8	90	75.0	52	
7	57.8	90	71.1	52	
8	65.4	52	65.4	52	
All	64.4	500	67.3	395	

EVALUATION

Based on the results of the 2015 English Language Arts exam for 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, and 8th grade, Bronx Charter School for Excellence did not meet its objective for this outcome measure. In grades 3,5,6,7, and 8, less than 75% of students who were enrolled in at least their second year performed at or above the State's Performance Levels of Levels 3 and 4. On the 3rd grade, our students did not meet the measure by 15.0 percentage points, our 4th graders exceeded the measure by 0.3 percentage points, the 5th graders did not meet the measure by 13.7 percentage points, our 6th graders did meet the measure with exactly 75.0 points, our 7th grade cohort did not meet the

measure by 3.9 percentage points, and our 8th graders did not meet the measure by 9.6 percentage points. Overall, Bronx Charter School for Excellence did not meet the outcome measure by 7.7 percentage points in English Language Arts. Bronx Charter School for Excellence has increased its overall performance for grade 3 through 8 by 20.6 percentage points from the previous year.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The 2013-2014 year reflects overall performance at 50.9 percent. The 2014-2015 academic year data reflects proficiency levels using the state's published cut-scores. BCSE's students demonstrated that 47.7 percent were at or above proficiency levels. Due to the revised NYS 3-8 Common Corealigned testing program, exams were reconstructed, causing a state-wide drop in student achievement scores. For the 2015-2016 school year, Bronx Charter School for Excellence demonstrated 67.3 percent proficiency in English Language Arts, which is 19.6 percentage points higher than the previous 2014-2015 school year. Since the 2013-2014 school year, there has been an increase of 16.4 percentage points.

English Language	Arts Performance h	by Grade Level and School Year	r
Liigiisii Laiiguagt	FAILS FEITUITIATILE L	by Grade Leverally School rea	

	Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year								
	Achieving Proficiency								
Grade	201	13-14	2014	-15	201	5-16			
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Dorsont	Number			
	Percent	Tested	Percent	Tested	Percent	Tested			
3	61.8	55	38.0	52	60.2	83			
4	76.4	51	69.0	56	75.3	77			
5	34.5	55	50.9	53	61.3	80			
6	49.1	53	40.7	54	75.0	52			
7	46.3	54	35.0	55	69.8	53			
8	38.0	318	52.5	55	65.4	52			
All	50.9	318	47.7	325	67.3	397			

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index ("PLI") on the State English language arts exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective ("AMO") set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

METHOD

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards enabling all students to be proficient. As a result, the state sets an AMO each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal of proficiency in the state's learning standards in English language arts. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a PLI value that equals or exceeds the 2015-16 English language arts AMO of <u>104</u>. The PLI is

calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PLI is 200.²

RESULTS

Of the 397 students tested, 30.2% attained Level 2, 46.9% attained Level 3 and 20.4% attained a Level 4. The attained aggregate Performance Index on the English Language Arts exam was 164.8.

	English La	nguage Arts 201	.5 16 Performan	ice Level	Index			
Number in	Pe	rcent of Students a	nt Each Performan	ce Level				
Cohort	Level 1							
	2.5	30.2	46.9		20.4			
	PI	= 30.2	+ 46.9	+	20.4	=	97.5	
			46.9	+	20.4	=	<u>67.3</u>	
					PLI	=	164.8	

EVALUATION

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, as well as notable performance in specific grades and populations. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

New York State's NCLB 2013-2014 target Annual English language Arts Measurable Objective for Grades 3 through 8 is 104. Since our attained aggregate performance index value was 164.8, we met our objective for this outcome measure, by a margin of 60.8 points.

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

METHOD

A school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district.³

² In contrast to SED's Performance Index, the PLI does not account for year-to-year growth toward proficiency.

³ Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its News Release webpage.

RESULTS

The following table presents the results for Grades 3 through 8 along with the aggregate data. Bronx Charter School for Excellence's percentage for 3^{rd} grade was 60.2 % compared to District 11's 32%, 4^{th} grade was 75.3% compared to District 11's 32%, 5^{th} grade was 61.3% compared to District 11's 24%, 6^{th} grade was 75.0% compared to District 11's 23%, 7^{th} grade was 69.8% compared to District 11's 29.0%, and 8^{th} grade was 65.4% compared to District 11's 33%. Grades 3 through 8 outperformed District 11 by 38.5% with a percentage of 67.3% compared to 28.8%.

2015 16 State English Language Arts Exam
Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

	Percent of Students at Proficiency						
		ool Students	All District Students				
Grade	In At Leas	t 2nd Year					
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number			
	rereene	Tested	rerecite	Tested			
3	60.0	82	32	3232			
4	75.3	77	32	3139			
5	61.3	80	24	3203			
6	75.0	52	23	2858			
7	71.1	52	29	2907			
8	65.4	52	33	3015			
All	67.3	395	28.8	18,354			

EVALUATION

The percentages of students at Level 3 or above enrolled in at least two years at Bronx Charter School for Excellence surpassed the aggregate district performance for all students in District 11 in 3rd through 8th grade by a difference of 38.5 percentage points. Individually, 28.0 percentage points difference on the 3rd grade, 43.3 percentage points in 4th grade, 37.3 percentage points in 5th grade, 52.0 percentage points in 6th grade, 42.1 percentage points in 7th grade, and 32.4 percentage points in 8th grade. Based on these results, Bronx Charter School for Excellence met its objective for this outcome measure.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In 2013-2014, the District was outperformed by 31.2 percentage points. In 2014-2015, Bronx Charter School for Excellence continued to outperform District 11 by 28.4 percentage points. In 2015-2016, Bronx Charter School for Excellence outperformed the District by 38.5 percentage points.

English Language Arts Performance of Charter School and Local District					
	by Grade Level and School Year				
Grade	Percent of Students Enrolled in at Least their Second Year Scoring at or Above Proficiency Compared to Local District Students				

	2013-14		2014	4-15	2015-16	
	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	Charter	Local
	School	District	School	District	School	District
3	61.8	23	38.0	21.8	60.2	32
4	76.4	23	69.0	11.1	75.3	32
5	34.5	19	50.9	20.3	61.3	24
6	49.1	18	40.7	19.7	75.0	23
7	46.3	16	35.0	19.0	69.8	29
8	38.0	19	52.5	24.0	65.4	33
All	50.9	19.7	47.7	19.3	67.3	28.8

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.

METHOD

The SUNY Charter Schools Institute ("Institute") conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to that of demographically similar public schools statewide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar concentration of economically disadvantaged students. The difference between the school's actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3, or performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree, is the requirement for achieving this measure.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2015-16 analysis is not yet available. This report contains <u>2014-15</u> results, the most recent Comparative Performance Analysis available.

RESULTS

As noted in the following table, the obtained Effect Size for the English Language Arts exam in 2014-2015 academic year was 1.73 for grades 3 through 8. Grade 3 had an Effect Size of 0.66, grade 4 had an Effect Size of 3.14, grade 5 had an Effect Size of 2.41, grade 6 had an effect size of 1.28, grade 7 had an effect size of 1.08, and grade 8 had an effect size of 1.67.

2044455 111				
7/11// 15 English	Language	Arts Comparative P	artormance l	NV (-rade Level
2014 13 LIIBIISII	Laliguage /	ALIS CUITIVALALIVE F	en on mance i	UV GLAUE LEVEL

Grade	Percent Economically	Number Tested		of Students rels 3&4	Difference between Actual	Effect Size
	Disadvantaged		Actual	Predicted	and Predicted	
3	77.1	84	33	23.4	9.6	0.66
4	77.4	84	64	23.1	40.9	3.14

5	74.5	55	53	21.3	31.7	2.41
6	74.5	56	41	21.8	19.2	1.28
7	76.4	55	35	19.0	16.0	1.08
8	76.4	55	53	24.3	28.7	1.67
All	76.2	389	46.8	22.3	24.5	1.73

School's Overall Comparative Performance:	
Higher than expected to a large degree.	

EVALUATION

Since the requirement was 0.3, and an Effect Size was attained for Grades 3 through 8 of 1.73, Bronx Charter School for Excellence met its objective for this outcome. The measure exceeded by 1.43 and was higher than expected to a large degree.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Displayed in the table below is the data for Grades 3 through 8. As stated above, Bronx Charter School for Excellence met its objective for this outcome measure for 3rd through 8^h grade ELA exams in 2014-2015 by outperforming the predicted measure by 24.5 percentage points. Bronx Charter School for Excellence performed higher than the predicted percent of students at Level 3 and 4 during the 2013-2014 academic year by 29.2 percentage points and by 25.9 in 2012-2013 compared to similar schools statewide.

	English Language Arts Comparative Performance by School Year					
School Year	Grades	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch/ Economically Disadvantaged	Number Tested	Actual	Predicted	Effect Size
2012-13	3-8	82.1	323	44.9	19.0	2.17
2013-14	3-8	75.5	330	51.7	22.5	2.02
2014-15	3-8	76.2	389	46.8	22.3	1.08

Goal 1: Growth Measure⁴

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.

⁴ See Guidelines for <u>Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan</u> for an explanation.

METHOD

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state exam in 2014-15 and also have a state exam score from 2013-14 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2013-14 score are ranked by their 2014-15 score and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (student growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it must have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2015-16 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2014-15 results, the most recent Growth Model data available.⁵

RESULTS

In 2014-2015, the 4th grade cohort had a mean growth percentile of 65.2, 5th grade had a mean growth percentile of 30.4, 6th grade had a mean growth percentile of 66.2, 7th grade had a mean growth percentile of 40.1, and 8th grade had a mean growth percentile of 59.1. Overall, the school's mean growth percentile was 53.3, which is 3.3 percent above the statewide median.

<u>2014 15</u> English La	nguage Arts Mean Growth	h Percentile by Grade Level

	Mean Growth Percentile				
Grade	School	Statewide			
	3011001	Median			
4	65.2	50.0			
5	30.4	50.0			
6	66.2	50.0			
7	40.1	50.0			
8	59.1	50.0			
All	<u>53.3</u>	50.0			

EVALUATION

Bronx Charter School for Excellence had an overall mean growth percentile of 53.3, meeting the measure with 3.3 percent above the statewide median.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Bronx Charter School for Excellence had an overall mean in 4th grade of 58.5 in 2012-2013, 58.3 in 2013-2014, and 65.2 in 2014-15, exceeding the statewide median for all three years. Bronx Charter School for Excellence had an overall mean in 5th grade of 29.5 in 2012-2013, 31.1 in 2013-2014, and 30.4 in 2014-15, which was below the statewide median. In 2012-2013, the 6th grade had a mean growth percentile of 56.5, 55.6 in 2013-2014, and 66.2 in 2014-15, which exceeded the statewide median for all three years. Seventh grade had a mean growth percentile of 60 in 2012-2013, 62.9 in 2013-2014, and 40.1 in

⁵ Schools can acquire these data from the NYSED's Business Portal: portal.nysed.gov.

2014-15, which exceeded the statewide median for the first two years. In 2012-2013, 8th grade had a mean growth percentile of 50.5, which exceeded the state median, had a mean growth percentile of 48.9 in 2013-2014, which was below the statewide median, and had a mean growth percentile of 59.1 in 2014-15. Overall, Bronx Charter School for Excellence had a mean growth percentile of 51.0 in 2012-2013, 51.4 in 2013-2014, and 53.3 in 2014-15, which exceeded the statewide median for all three years.

English Language Arts Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

	Mean Growth Percentile					
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	Statewide Median		
4	58.5	58.3	65.2	50.0		
5	29.5	31.1	30.4	50.0		
6	56.5	55.6	66.2	50.0		
7	60	62.9	40.1	50.0		
8	50.5	48.9	59.1	50.0		
All	51.0	51.4	53.3	50.0		

SUMMARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GOAL

As delineated in the following table, Bronx Charter School for Excellence met 4 out of 5 outcome measures for Goal 1. Overall, the school did not meet proficiency in English Language Arts at Bronx Charter School for Excellence. Although the school did not meet proficiency for this goal, the overall performance of students in grades 3-8, the school was 19.6 percentage points higher than the previous year, demonstrating significant improvement. The school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the state English language arts exam met this year's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system. Bronx Charter School for Excellence did achieve the measure of performing greater than students in the same grades in the local school district. Additionally, the school has also achieved the measure of exceeding its predicted level of performance on the ELA exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above to a large degree. Under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 is above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile. Bronx Charter School for Excellence will continue to strive to meet its English Language Arts goal of students becoming proficient readers of the English language.

Туре	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State English language arts exam for grades 3-8.	Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the state English language arts exam will meet that year's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of students in the same tested	Achieved

	grades in the local school district.	
Comparative	Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. (Using 2013-14 school district results.)	Achieved
Growth	Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.	Achieved

ACTION PLAN

Bronx Charter School for Excellence will continue the following steps to improve particular areas within curriculum and instruction while continuing to strive for high academic performance through specific enhancements of the overall English Language Arts program. These steps will allow us to meet Goal 1:

- 1. We will prioritize our professional development for all teachers with a strong focus on the Common Core Learning Standards. The Common Core Standards will be analyzed and emphasized in all discipline areas and grade levels. The Leadership Team will work closely with all grade level teams on internalizing the Common Core standards and understanding the shift in planning and instructional delivery. We will continue to focus on the 6 shifts including:
- Balancing Informational and Literary Texts
- Knowledge in the Disciplines
- Staircase of Complexity
- Text-Based Answers
- Writing from Sources
- Academic Vocabulary

School leadership will collaborate with grade level teams to revise curriculum maps and units that are in complete alignment with the Common Core Standards and emphasize academic language rigor. Writing will take place in all discipline areas.

2. Our early childhood classes will get more support from the Leadership team, the Principal and additional support staff identifying students who need early intervention/prevention in the areas of Literacy within the first few weeks of school. Supplemental instructional materials will be given to support an intervention program to meet their needs. An extra block of literacy intervention will be given to these students. Students who receive early intervention will be monitored on a weekly basis for short term goal improvement.

Our upper grade classes will get more support from the Leadership team in identifying students who need intervention and support in the areas of Literacy during the first two weeks of school. Supplemental instructional materials will be given to support an intervention through a push in or pull out program. For the few upper grade students who still need support with phonics and fluency, they will receive an extra literacy intervention block to support their improvement in these areas. Extra resources will also be provided for 5th through 8th grade students to improve their literacy learning by integrating literacy with Social Studies and Science to ensure emphasis on the Common Core Standards. Students will have access to more classic literature and a rigorous writing program.

- 3. We will prioritize our professional development for early childhood teachers with more time devoted to addressing the needs of the struggling, proficient and advanced readers. Since Bronx Charter School for Excellence teaches reading through a daily cycle of whole group instruction to flexible reading groups within the entire grade, most of the improvement will take place during the flexible reading group sessions.
- 4. We will prioritize our professional development for upper grade teachers with more time devoted in the area of guided reading and literature circles, with a strong emphasis on building effective reading comprehension strategies and critical thinking techniques.

In addition to the stated improvements, Bronx Charter School for Excellence will continue to maintain and implement important components of its overall English Language Arts program such as:

- Daily uninterrupted reading block (8:40-10:20) in Kindergarten through fifth grade, with 3 hour reading and social studies integrated blocks in sixth through Eighth grade
- Daily explicit reading instruction increased from 90 minutes to 100 minutes
- Daily one hour flexible reading groups
- Differentiated curriculum, instruction, assessment and staff development
- Co-teaching and modeling cycles with master teachers and leadership team
- Collaborative unit and lesson planning
- Weekly, Monthly and Quarterly analysis of assessments
- Monitoring of lesson plans
- Formal and informal lesson observations

MATHEMATICS

Goal 2: Mathematics

BCSE students will demonstrate steady progress in the understanding and application of mathematical skills.

BACKGROUND

During the 2015-2016 school year, Bronx Charter School for Excellence used a program that has the core tenets of mathematical instruction (i.e. computational, procedural and conceptual) to support and enable success with authentic problem solving activities. The Math in Focus program with problem solving as the center of math learning and concepts taught with a concrete—pictorial—abstract learning progression through real-world, hands-on experience is a program that focuses on making connections and unpacking mathematical skills and concepts. Our enriched curriculum supports the goals of the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics, is research-based and focuses on classroom learning, discussion, and practice while balancing conceptual understanding, visual learning, and problem solving. On a daily basis students are asked to demonstrate their mastery of basic concepts so that these skills can move to a level of automaticity, allowing more time to be spent on robust and challenging mathematical questions. Our focus is on making our students fluent in mathematics as evidenced by their ability to tackle concepts from a variety of angles. Both programs were supported with resources from EngageNY to align to the Common Core standards. All grades are expected to master both content and mathematical processes appropriate for their age/grade.

Our assessments include unit-based quizzes and tests that check progress for proficiency in skills and concepts connected to the Common Core standards. We also use simple and multi-step constructed responses and project based learning to assess mastery of skills and concepts. In addition to preparing for school-based exams and New York State standardized tests, it is our intention that many students will be able to progress to algebra completion by the end of eighth grade. In order to achieve this, students are required to achieve mastery in the building blocks of algebra beginning in sixth grade. Assessments and teacher anecdotes are used to determine which students should enroll in advanced coursework beginning in the seventh grade to prepare. This allows for increased access to advanced high school and college courses.

This year our professional development focused on looking closely at the Common Core standards by attending professional development sessions and examining sample tasks offered by New York State and other educational agencies and organizations.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination for grades 3-8.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination for grades 3-8.

METHOD

The school administered the New York State Testing Program mathematics assessment to students in 3rd through 8th grade in April 2016. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level.

The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that this table includes all students according to grade level, even if they have not enrolled in at least their second year.

2015 16	State	Mathematics	Exam
Number of Students	Tested and Not Tested		

Crada	Total	Not Test	Total			
Grade	Tested	IEP	ELL	Absent	Refused	Enrolled
3	89	0	0	0	0	89
4	90	0	0	0	0	90
5	89	0	0	0	0	89
6	89	0	0	0	1	90
7	90	0	0	0	0	90
8	52	0	0	0	1	53
All	499	0	0	0	2	501

RESULTS

The following table presents the New York State mathematics test results for all students tested and for those students enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 89 students tested in Grade 3, 82 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 82 continuously enrolled 3rd graders, 76.8% performed at or above Proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination. Of the 90 students tested in Grade 4, 77 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 77 continuously enrolled 4th graders, 53.7% performed at or above Proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination. Of the 89 students tested in Grade 5, 79 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 79 continuously enrolled 5th graders, 69.6% performed at or Proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination.

Of the 89 students tested in Grade 6, 89 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 52 continuously enrolled 6th graders, 94.1% performed at or above Proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination. Of the 90 students tested in Grade 7th, 52 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the continuously enrolled 7th graders, 61.5% performed at or above Proficiency on

⁶ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam.

the New York State mathematics examination. Of the 52 students tested in Grade 8, 52 were enrolled in at least their second year. Of the 52 continuously enrolled 8th graders, 65.3% performed at or above Proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination.

Performance on 2015 16 State Mathematics Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grades	All Students		Enrolled in Second Year	at least their
Grades	Percent Proficient	Number Tested	Percent Proficient	Number Tested
3	75.3	89	76.8%	82
4	60.0	90	53.7%	77
5	67.4	89	69.6%	79
6	92.1	89	94.1%	52
7	54.4	90	61.5%	52
8	65.4	52	65.3%	52
All	69.3	499	70.1%	394

Evaluation

Based on the results of the 2015 mathematics exam for 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, and 8th grade, Bronx Charter School for Excellence did not meet its objective for this outcome measure except for 3rd and 6th grade. The 3rd grade has 76.8 scoring Proficient which is 1.8 percentage points higher than the 75 percent goal. The 6th grade has 94.1 scoring Proficient which is 19.1 percentage points higher than the 75 percent goal. In grades 4,5,7,and 8 less than 75% of students who were enrolled in at least their second year performed at or above Proficient. Bronx Charter School for Excellence 4th graders fell short of the measure by 21.3 percentage points, 5th graders fell short of the measure by 5.4 percentage points, our 7th graders fell short of the measure by 19.5 percentage points, and our 8th graders fell short of the measure by 9.7 percentage points. While Bronx Charter School for Excellence was unable to meet the outcome measure, we saw an average increase overall of 17% due to better preparedness and an instructional shift that were fully aligned to the Common Core standards.

Additional Evidence

Between 2009-2014, students testing in at least their second year maintained 98 percent proficiency or higher on the New York State mathematics using the states Time Adjusted Level 3 scores. Third and 4th grade maintained 100 percent for all 3 years. However, due to the shift towards the Common Core standards dramatically shifted the baseline for proficiency and Time Adjusted Scores are not available. Subsequently, during the current Accountability Period, Bronx Charter School for Excellence at best-made progress towards a high level of performance.

Also, additional evidence may include other valid and reliable assessment results that demonstrate the effectiveness of the school's instructional program.

Also, additional evidence may include other valid and reliable assessment results that demonstrate the effectiveness of the school's instructional program.

Mathamatica	Dartarmanca	ou Crada Lava	I and School Year	
- Mainemains		NV (31 21 12 1 2 V 2)	lann younn year	

			Enrolled in	At Least	Their Sec	ond Year
	Achieving	Proficiency	T			
Grade	2013-14		2014-15		2015-16	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3	68.5%	54	57%	56	76.8%	82
4	90.0%	50	76%	56	53.7%	77
5	68.5%	54	57.1%	54	69.6%	79
6	56.6%	53	72.2%	54	94.1%	52
7	65.4%	52	38.2%	55	61.5%	52
8	52.1%	48	67.3%	55	65.3%	52
All	66.9%	311	59.8%	330	70.1%	394

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index ("PLI") on the State mathematics exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective ("AMO") set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

METHOD

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards enabling all students to be proficient. As a result, the state sets an AMO each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal of proficiency in the state's learning standards in mathematics. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a PLI value that equals or exceeds the 2015-16 mathematics AMO of 101. The PLI is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PLI is 200.⁷

RESULTS

Of the 394 students tested, 4,8% attained a Level 1, 29.6% attained a Level 2, 31.9% attained a Level 3, and 35.0% attained Level 4. The attained aggregate Performance Index on the mathematics exam was 163.4

Mathematics 2015-16 Performance Level Index (PLI)

Number in	Percent of Studen	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level						
Cohort	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4						
	4.8	29.6	31.9	35.0				

⁷ In contrast to NYSED's Performance Index, the PLI does not account for year-to-year growth toward proficiency.

PΙ	=	29.6	+	31.9	+	35.0	=	96.5
				31.9	+	35.0	=	<u>66.9</u>
						DII	_	163 /

EVALUATION

New York State's NCLB 2015-2016 target Mathematics Measurable Objective for Grades 3 through 8 is 94. Since our attained aggregate performance index value was 163.4, we met our objective for this outcome measure by a margin of 69.4% points.

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

METHOD

A school compares the performance of tested students enrolled in at least their second year to that of all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district.⁸

RESULTS

The following table presents the results for Grades 3 through 8 along with the aggregate data. Bronx Charter School for Excellence's percentage for 3^{rd} grade was 75.3% compared to District 11's %. The 4^{th} grade was 60.0% compared to District 11's %. The 5^{th} grade students were at 67.4% compared to District 11's %. The 6^{th} grade students were at 92.1% compared to District 11's %. The 7^{th} grade students were at 54.4% compared to District 11's %. The 8^{th} grade students were at 65.4% compared to District 11's %. All grades outperformed District 11 with a percentage of 69.3% compared to %.

2015 16 State Mathematics Exam Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

	Percent of Students at Proficiency								
Grade	Charter Scho In At Least 2 ^r	ool Students nd Year	All District Students						
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number					
	reiteiit	Tested	reiteiit	Tested					
3	76.8%	82	30%	3,277					
4	53.7%	77	31%	3,166					
5	69.6%	69.6% 79		3,222					

⁸ Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its News Release webpage.

6	94.1%	52	26%	2,902
7	61.5%	52	22%	2,934
8	65.3%	52	19%	2,834
All	70.1%	394	25.8	18,335

EVALUATION

The percentages of students at Level 3 or above enrolled in at least two years at Bronx Charter School for Excellence surpassed the aggregate district performance for all students in District 11 in 3rd through 8th grade by a difference of 44.3 percentage points. There was a 40.8 percentage point difference on the 3rd grade, 22.7 percentage point difference in 4th grade, 42.6 percentage point difference on the 5th grade, a 68.1 percentage difference on 6th grade, a 39.5 percentage difference on 7th grade, and a 46.3 percentage difference on 8th grade. Based on these results, the Bronx Charter School for Excellence met its objective for this outcome measure.

Additional Evidence

During the 2013-2014 school year, 6th^h grade students performed at 54.4% compared to the District's 24.1%. During the 2014-2015 school year, 6th^h grade students performed at 72.7% compared to the District's 24.1%. During the 2015-2016 school year they performed at 94.1% compared to the District's 26%.

As outlined in the Evaluation portion above, our 3-8th graders continued to outperform the District even though the gap was narrowed. Overall, the Bronx Charter School for Excellence has outperformed the Local District for the last 3 years in all grades.

Mathematics Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year

		Percent of Students Enrolled in at Least their Second Year Who Are at Proficiency Compared to Local District Students								
Grade	2013-14			2015-16						
	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	Charter	Local				
	School	District	School	District	School	District				
3	69.1	23.8	57.0%	27.8%	76.8%	30%				
4	90.2	24.1	76.0%	27.9%	53.7%	31%				
5	69.1%	55	59.5%	31.4%	69.6%	27%				
6	54.4%	54	72.7%	26.2%	94.1%	26%				

7	66.7%	54	38.2%	23.6%	61.5%	22%
8	55.1%	50	67.3%	15.3%	65.3%	19%
All	67.4%	319	61.8%	25.4%	70.1%	<u>25.8</u>

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.

METHOD

The Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to that of demographically similar public schools statewide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar concentration of economically disadvantaged students. The difference between the school's actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3, or performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree, is the requirement for achieving this measure.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2015-16 analysis is not yet available. This report contains <u>2014-15</u> results, the most recent Comparative Performance Analysis available.

RESULTS

The 2014-2015 results demonstrate that Bronx Charter School for Excellence performed far above predicted levels with a difference of 40.3 percentage points. Additionally, 3rd grade had an effect size of 0.96, 4th grade had an effect size of 2.41, 5th grade had an effect size of 1.55, 6th grade had an effect size of 2.29, 7th grade had an effect size of 0.78, and 8th grade had an effect size of 2.77. Overall, grades 3 through 8 had an effect size of 1.78, highly exceeding the effect size of 0.3.

	<u>2014 15</u> Math	nematics Co	omparative I	Performance by	y Grade Level	
Grade	Percent Economically	Number Tested	Percent of at Levels 3		Difference between Actual	Effect Size
	Disadvantaged		Actual	Predicted	— and Predicted	
3	77.1	84	51	33.2	17.8	0.96
4	77.4	84	76	32.4	43.6	2.41
5	74.5	55	60	32.3	27.7	1.55
6	74.5	56	73	28.5	44.5	2.29
7	76.4	55	38	22.7	15.3	0.78
8	76.4	55	67	15.6	51.4	2.77

All	76.2	389	61.3	28.2	33.0	1.78

School's Overall Comparative Performance:
Higher than expected to a large degree

Evaluation

Since the attained Effect Size for Grades 3 through 8 was 1.73, the expected effect size was exceeded by 1.48. Bronx Charter School for Excellence met its objective for this outcome measure and was higher than the expected effect size to a large degree.

Additional Evidence

Displayed in the table below are three years of available data. The Bronx Charter School for Excellence met and exceeded its objective during the 2012-2015 school years.

Mathematics Comparative Performance by School Year

School Year	Grades	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch/ Economically Disadvantaged	Number Tested	Actual	Predicted	Effect Size
2012-13	3-8	82.1	323	52.3	20.3	1.97
2013-14	3-8	75.5	389	61	40.3	2.07
2014-15	3-8	76.2	499	46.8	22.3	1.73

Goal 2: Growth Measure⁹

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.

METHOD

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state exam in 2014-15 and also have a state exam score in 2013-14 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2013-14 scores are ranked by their 2014-15 scores and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (student growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it must have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2015-16 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2014-15 results, the most recent Growth Model data available. ¹⁰

⁹ See Guidelines for <u>Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan</u> for an explanation.

2014 15 Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level

	Mean Growth Percentile			
Grade	School	Statewide		
	3011001	Median		
4	61.2	50.0		
5	48.4	50.0		
6	57.1	50.0		
7	48.8	50.0		
8	50.9	50.0		
All	54.0	50.0		

EVALUATION

Bronx Charter School for Excellence had an overall mean growth percentile of 54.0, meeting the measure with 4.0 percentage points above the statewide median.

Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

	Mean Growth Percentile						
Grade	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	Statewide Median			
4	63.4	58.3	61.2	50.0			
5	41.5	31.1	48.4	50.0			
6	46.8	55.6	57.1	50.0			
7	47.1	62.9	48.8	50.0			
8	42.8	48.9	50.9	50.0			
All	48.5	68.2	54.0	50.0			

Summary of the Mathematics Goal

As delineated in the following table, during the 2014-2015 school year, Bronx Charter School for Excellence did not meet all *5*-outcome measures for Goal 2.

Based on the evidence, Bronx Charter School for Excellence has not met its goal of having 75 percent of its students perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics exam. However, Bronx Charter School for Excellence has continued to outperform students tested in the same grades in the local school districts. The school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the State mathematics exam met the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system. Bronx Charter School for Excellence exceeded the predicted level of performance on the NYS math exams by a large degree. The schools mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 was above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.

 $^{^{10}}$ Schools can acquire these data from the NYSED's business portal: portal.nysed.gov.

Туре	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics exam for grades 3-8.	Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the state mathematics exam will meet that year's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. (Using 2013-14 school district results.)	Achieved
Growth	Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.	Achieved

Action Plan

Bronx Charter School for Excellence will undertake the following steps to improve particular areas the mathematics curriculum and instruction. These steps will allow us to meet Goal 2 in upcoming years, particularly at the middle school level:

- 1. Common core aligned benchmark assessment will be given to all students 4-6 times throughout the year to monitor students' progress on skills to be mastered at each grade level. Students who are underperforming will receive additional support during intervention blocks.
- 2. Teachers will identify students who need early intervention/prevention in grade level appropriate basic math skills the first two weeks of school. Students who receive early intervention will be monitored on a weekly basis for short-term goal improvement and attainment of performance indicators in mathematics.
- 3. For middle school, a comprehensive advisory program will be instituted to make sure that the developmental needs of students are met before the core instructional day begins.
- 4. We will prioritize our professional development for all teachers with a focus on unpacking and implementing the Common Core standards. More time will be devoted for development in addressing the needs of struggling middle school students. We will also focus on training teachers in effective and multiple strategies of differentiating instruction that will allow all students to be able learn content and process skills from multiple vantage points.
- 5. More professional development with the Math In Focus program and the Math Common Core learning Standards will be provided.
- 6. Classrooms will integrate Math Messages into their morning meetings and implement more math talk into their math periods, providing an additional hour of math exposure and instruction weekly.

In addition to the stated improvements, Bronx Charter School for Excellence will continue to maintain and implement important components of its overall Mathematics program such as:

- Differentiated curriculum, instruction, interim assessment and staff development
- Co-teaching and modeling cycles with master teachers and leadership team
- Collaborative unit and lesson planning
- Implementing Math Talk
- Weekly, Monthly and Quarterly analysis of assessments
- Monitoring of lesson plans
- Formal and informal lesson observation
- Project-based assessments
- Project-based learning
- Blended Learning

SCIENCE

Goal 3: Science

BCSE students will demonstrate proficiency relevant to science achievement.

BACKGROUND

The Bronx Charter School for Excellence's science curriculum is based on the New York State standards, common core curriculum, and the next generation science standards which focus on three major strands- the physical environment, earth and space, and the living environment integrated with mathematics and technology. Under the direct guidance of the standards, key ideas and performance indicators, the science program has an inquiry-based approach to learning. It also provides students with opportunities to build connections that link science to technology and societal impacts. The content provides the foundational skills and knowledge our students need to ultimately become scientifically literate citizens of the 21st century.

Science instruction is differentiated for all learning styles and incorporates several methods of instructional delivery and assessment. Instruction emphasizes active, hands-on explorations in the early grades that help students build their own understands of key concepts and invites students to develop and explain concepts in their own words orally through writing and drawing. Students are provided with options and projects that will allow them to demonstrate mastery of content. When young learners are actively engaged in the discovery process effectively, their natural curiosity leads them to explore, discover and learn about the natural world.

Professional development was offered consistently for the 2015-2016 school year. It provided the science cluster teacher with models of curriculum mapping and unit planning and opportunities to explore resources. Talented, certified teachers participated in professional workshops to enhance inquiry-based explorations and build on developing more hands experiences using more student led experiments with teacher support. In turn, students built skills needed to investigate and then explain the world that surrounds them. Teacher professional development directly and indirectly affects students. Students learn to practice solving problems creatively, thinking critically, working cooperatively in teams, using technology effectively, and developing positive science attitudes.

The middle school science program is also governed by the New York State standards, common core standards, and the New Generation Standards. Key ideas and performance indicators are used to prepare our students to further develop and cultivate science abilities and understanding. The goal is for students to be able to explain, both accurately and with appropriate depth, the big ideas. Guided by teachers, students will continually develop and learn science knowledge to complete a scientific investigation. Moreover, they learn to communicate thoughts about science knowledge. With practice, trial and error, students will begin to recognize the relationship between explains and evidence. Thus, students in grades 5-8 demonstrated their understanding of science process skills and procedures. At least four, award-winning trade books a year will be part of the High-interest books that can transform scientific concepts into fluid text are ideal. In all, varied opportunities allowed BCSE students to use appropriate scientific language and demonstrate proficiency toward the eighth-grade science exit project and other competitive examinations such as New York State Earth Science Regents.

Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State science examination.

METHOD

The school administered the New York State Testing Program science assessment to students in 4th and 8th grade in spring 2015. The school converted each student's raw score to a performance level and a grade-specific scaled score. The criterion for success on this measure requires students enrolled in at least their second year to score at proficiency.

RESULTS

Of the 4th grade students at the Bronx Charter School for Excellence, 100% of the students achieved Levels 3 and 4. Of the 8th grade students, 66% of the students achieved Levels 3 or 4. The 2015-2016 scores have not yet been released. However, compared to the District in 2013-2014 grade 4 at 83% last year, the students exceeded the District average by 17 percentage points. Compared to the District in grade 8 at 43%, the students exceeded the District average by 23 percentage points.

Charter School Performance on 2015 16 State Science Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

	Percent of Students at Proficiency							
Grade		ool Students t 2 nd Year	All District Students					
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number				
	Proficient Tested		Proficient	Tested				
4	100	89	N/A	N/A				
8	66	35	N/A	N/A				
All	83	112	N/A	N/A				

EVALUATION

The grade 4th and 8th performance for 2015-2016 was at 83%. The measure was met with 100% of the students who were enrolled in at least their second year performing at or above a Level 3 for 4th grade and 66% for 8th grade. The notable science achievement of this 4th grade cohort is 25% above the measure of 75% performing at or above Level 3. The 8th grade cohort is 9% below the measure of 75% performing at or above Level 3. BCSE also had sixteen 8th grade students taking the Earth Science Regents. Of the sixteen students taking the Earth Science Regents, fifteen (94%) passed the Earth Science Regents exams.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The trend during the current Accountability Period is that all 4th grade students for 2014-2015, and since 2007-2008 school year, have achieved a Level 3 or 4 on the New York State Science Performance exam. During the 2012-2013 school year, the 8th grade students took the New York State Science Performance exams for the first time, and 85% of the students achieved a Level 3 or 4. In 2013-2014, BCSE increased the number of 8th graders taking the New York State Earth Science regents, but the overall score dropped 7% below the 75% goal. In the 2014-2015 year, the 8th grade increased the number of students taking the NYS Regents exam. The NYS Science Performance increased to 96%, which is an improvement of 28%. In the 2015-2016 school year, BCSE had ten more students (total of 35) take the NYS Science exam compared to 2014-2015 and the overall score dropped 9% below the 75% goal. Overall, the number of students tested increased from 98 in 2014-2015 to 112 in 2015-2016. The school has continued to maintain a high level of overall performance at 83%, by providing students with differentiated instruction that provides learning opportunities for all learning styles.

		tormance					

Grade	Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year at
Grade	Proficiency

	2013-14		2014-15		2015-16	
	Percent	Number	Dorcont	Number	Percent	Number
	Proficient	Tested	Percent	Tested	Proficient	Tested
4	100	56	100	55	100	89
8	68	37	96	25	66	35
All	84	93	80	98	83	112

Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state science exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

METHOD

The school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year and the results for the respective grades in the local school district.

RESULTS

Of the 4th grade students at the Bronx Charter School for Excellence, 100% of the students achieved Levels 3 and 4. Of the 8th grade students, 66% of the students achieved Levels 3 or 4. The district scores have not yet been released.

2015 16 State Science Exam Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

	Percent of Students at Proficiency						
Grade		ool Students st 2 nd Year	All District Students				
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number			
	Proficient	roficient Tested		Tested			
4	100	89	N/A	N/A			
8	66	35	N/A	N/A			
All	83	112	N/A	N/A			

EVALUATION

The Bronx Charter School for Excellence 4th and 8th grade performed at 83%. District performance for 2014-2015 has not been released. Compared to the District averages from the previous year, 2013-2014, the measure was met and exceeded.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The Bronx Charter School for Excellence 4th and 8th grade performed at 83% during the 2015-16 school year. For the 2014-15 school year, the school performed at 97%, exceeding the district's performance of 62.5% by 34.5%. For the 2013-14 school year, the school performed at 84%, exceeding the district's performance of 63% by 21%. Compared to the District averages from the previous years, Bronx Charter School for Excellence has exceeded in performance. The local district's performance for 2015-2016 has not been released.

Science Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year

	Percent of Charter School Students at Proficiency and Enrolled in At Least the Second Year Compared to Local District Students						
Grade	2013-14		2014-15		2015-16		
	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	
	School	District	School	District	School	District	
4	100	83	100	81	100	N/A	
8	68	43	95	44	66	N/A	
All	84	63	97	62.5	83	N/A	

SUMMARY OF THE SCIENCE GOAL

Туре	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State examination.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	N/A

ACTION PLAN

The following steps have enabled Bronx Charter School for Excellence to improve upon and maintain high science curriculum, instruction and academic performance which allows us to continue to annually meet Goal 3:

- 1. The Science program will continue to follow the New Generation Science standards to drive further rigor and instruction through the Science Curriculum. These standards will continue to be implemented into every aspect of the curriculum.
- 2. The elementary science teacher and middle school science team will continue to receive support and guidance from the Leadership team in identifying students who need early intervention, whether for remediation. Steady progression or extending concepts. Supplemental instruction materials will be given to support intervention to meet students' needs. Students who receive early intervention will be monitored on a weekly basis to assess short term goals and attainment of performance indicators in science.
- 3. We will prioritize our professional development for teachers to have on-going opportunities to plan with grade level teams and grade spans for curriculum mapping and unit planning, explore resources and strategies for differentiation in instructional delivery, materials, and assessment, enhance technology and question lesson planning skills to address the needs of the struggling, proficient and advanced students. We will also focus on training more teachers on pedagogical methods for quality instruction.
- 4. K-5 will begin to implement a new FOSS science program. They will receive ongoing professional development for the program and support on the integration of STEM instruction within the classroom.

Bronx Charter School for Excellence will continue to include the following for its overall Science Program:

- Differentiated curriculum, instruction, assessment and staff development
- Inquiry-based projects
- Co-teaching modeling cycles with master teachers and leadership team
- Collaborative unit and lesson planning
- Weekly, Monthly and Quarterly analysis of assessments
- Monitoring of lesson plans
- Formal and informal lesson observation
- Project-based assessments
- Field Trips

NCLB

Goal 4: NCLB

Under the state's NCLB accountability system, the school's Accountability Status will be "Good Standing" each year.

Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Under the state's NCLB accountability system, the school's Accountability Status is in good standing: the state has not identified the school as a Focus School nor determined that it has met the criteria to be identified as school requiring a local assistance plan.

METHOD

Because *all* students are expected to meet the state's learning standards, the federal No Child Left Behind legislation stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet state proficiency standards. New York, like all states, established a system for making these determinations for its public schools. Each year the state issues School Report Cards. The report cards indicate each school's status under the state's No Child Left Behind ("NCLB") accountability system.

RESULTS

Bronx Charter School for Excellence's NCLB status for 2015-16 school year is "Good Standing."

EVALUATION

The NCLB status of Bronx charter School for Excellence is "Good Standing."

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Bronx Charter School for Excellence has maintained "Good Standing" since the 2005-2006 school year.

NCLB Status by Year

Year	Status		
2013-14	Good Standing		
2014-15	Good Standing		
2015-16	Good Standing		

APPENDIX B: OPTIONAL GOALS

APPENDIX B: OPTIONAL GOALS

The following section contains a Parent Satisfaction optional goal, as well as examples of possible optional measures.

Goal 5: Parent Satisfaction

Parents will express a high satisfaction rating with the school.

Goal 5: Absolute Measure

Each year two-thirds of parents will demonstrate satisfaction with the school's program based on a parent satisfaction survey.

METHOD

For the ninth consecutive year, Bronx Charter School for Excellence (BCSE) used the NYC Learning Environment Survey and Report, which is used for the NYC public schools. It serves as a resource to unveil and assess parents' views and values of their child's learning environment. School year 2007-2008 was the first year that all New York City charter schools participated in this citywide survey and we discovered over the past 6 years that it was confusing for many parents. More importantly, BCSE's use of the NYC survey and report as a public charter school offers a familiar and citywide evaluative measure for both the school and its parents. So, during the 2010-2011, 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 school year, the parents used the same survey.

RESULTS

Of the 639 families at BCSE, 639 families responded to the 2015-2016 The NYC Learning Environment Survey with a rate of 100%. Displayed in percentages, the family respondents were satisfied with respect to four highlighted categories: 1) Academic Expectations -96%, 2) Communication -97%, 3) Engagement -94%, and 4) Safety and Respect -97%.

2015 16 Parent Satisfaction Survey Response Rate

Number of	Number of	Response Rate	
Responses	Families	Response Rate	
639	639	100%	

2015 16 Parent Satisfaction on Key Survey Results

·	
	Percent of
Item	Respondents
	Satisfied
Academic Expectations	96%
Communication	97%

Engagement	94%
Safety and Respect	97%

EVALUATION

The above mentioned, with 100% of families completing the 2015-2016 The NYC Learning Environment Survey, reflect immense parent satisfaction. Within each category, a balanced range of questions allow parents to examine and express their level of satisfaction with the overall quality of their child's education and the learning environment of BCSE. In comparison to last year's results of the NYC Learning Environment Survey Report, family slightly stayed the same or increased. During school community gatherings, the BCSE staff likened survey completion to their support of school functions and events. Engaged parents will voice their needs and uphold their parental responsibilities. Indeed, this year's survey helped them to do so. With respect to the four highlighted categories: 1) Academic Expectations – decreased by 2% from 98% to 96% 2) Communication decreased by 1% from 98% to 97% 3) Engagement decreased by 2% from 96% to 94%) Safety and Respect decreased by 1% from 98% to 97%.

As illustrated above, the four categories of the NYC Learning Environment Survey Report highlight areas to help schools: set goals to improve response rates, providing details about how parents answered specific questions, evaluate specific learning conditions, and compare one's school to other schools. Overall parents has 100% parent response rate unlike the city's average response percentage of 51%. The measure was met in accordance to the goal to have two-thirds of parents demonstrate satisfaction based on parent satisfaction survey. The parent response increase was due to having parents complete the surveys during parent teacher conferences and sending out many reminders to families.

Bronx Charter School for Excellence will continue to conduct NYC Learning Environment Survey during Spring Parent-Teacher conferences to ensure, increase and encourage parent participation as well as continue to send reminders to the families. BCSE will continue to research different ways to increase parents to participate in the survey.

Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 90 percent of all students enrolled during the course of the year return the following September.

METHOD

Every student that is admitted or discharged from the school is entered into ATS. This system maintains the details of each child and we use the information in ATS to finalize our numbers. We count all children who are discharged after June 30th through early September. In the spring, we ask parents to complete an "Intent to Return" form to provide BCSE with a tentative commitment to reserve space.

RESULTS

Over the summer of 2015, 24 students did not return to start the 2015-16 school year. Eleven students left because they moved out of the district or state and twelve for a range of parental choices including selecting other schools. Once the school year started, only 14 students were discharged before the end of the 2015-16 school year; five moved out of New York City and nine transferred to other schools. The retention rate for the 2014-2015 school year is 96%.

2015 16 Student Retention Rate				
2014-15 Enrollment	Number of Students Who Graduated in	Number of Students Who Returned in	Retention Rate 2015-16 Re-enrollment ÷	
	2014-15	2015-16	(2014-15 Enrollment – Graduates)	
644	55	562	96%	

EVALUATION

The number of students who returned for the 2015-2016 school year is based on the number of students initially returning in September. The retention rate for 2015-2016 school year was 96%. The goal was 90%; the measure was met.

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Year	Retention Rate
2013-14	97%
2014-15	98%
2015-16	96%

Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year the school will have a daily attendance rate of at least 95 percent.

METHOD

Attendance is taken every morning and entered into NYCDOE's ATS system. Daily, monthly and annual attendance reports are calculated by child, class and school and can be requested in a variety of reports.

RESULTS

In 2015-16, the school maintained a rate of 95% attendance and ended the year with an average of 97.6%. Goal 6 was met.

2015 16 Attendance

	Average Daily	
Grade	Attendance Rate	
K	96.9%	
1	97.3%	
2	97.7%	
3	98.1%	

4	97.8%
5	98.2%
6	97.9%
7	98.1%
8	96.5%
Overall	97.6%

EVALUATION

Successfully, the measure was met. The school's daily attendance rate of at least 95 percent exceeded the school's target by 2.6%

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Year	Average Daily	
rear	Attendance Rate	
2013-14	94.4%	
2014-15	97.3%	
2015-16	97.6%	



Entry 4 Expenditures per Child

Last updated: 08/01/2016

Page 1

Financial Information

This information is required of ALL charter schools. Provide the following measures of fiscal performance of the charter school in Appendix B (Total Expenditures and Administrative Expenditures Per Child):

1. Total Expenditures Per Child

To calculate **'Total Expenditures per Child'** take <u>total expenditures</u> (from the unaudited 2015-16 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and <u>divide by</u> the year end FTE student enrollment. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas).

Note: The information on the Schedule of Functional Expenses on pages 41-43 of the Audit Guide can help schools locate the amounts to use in the two per pupil calculations: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/AuditGuide.html

Line 1: Total Expenditures	8196849
Line 2: Year End FTE student enrollment	772
Line 3: Divide Line 1 by Line 2	10618

2. Administrative Expenditures per Child

To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' To calculate "Administrative Expenditures per Child" first add together the following:

- 1. Take the <u>relevant portion</u> from the 'personnel services cost' <u>row</u> and the 'management and general' <u>column</u> (from the unaudited 2015-16 Schedule of Functional Expenses)
- 2. Any contracted administrative/management fee paid to other organizations or corporations
- 3. Take the total from above and <u>divide</u> it by the year-end FTE enrollment. The relevant portion that must be included in this calculation is defined as follows:

Administrative Expenditures: Administration and management of the charter school includes the activities and personnel of the offices of the chief school officer, the finance or business offices, school operations personnel, data management and reporting, human resources, technology, etc. It also includes those administrative and management services provided by other organizations or corporations on behalf of the charter school for which the charter school pays a fee or other compensation. Do not include the FTE of personnel whose role is to directly support the instructional program.

Notes:

The information on the Schedule of Functional Expenses on pages 41-43 of the Audit Guide can help schools locate the amounts to use in the two per pupil calculations: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/AuditGuide.html.

Employee benefit costs or expenditures should not be reported in the above calculations.

Line 1: Relevant Personnel Services Cost (Row)	693624
Line 2: Management and General Cost (Column)	1026765
Line 3: Sum of Line 1 and Line 2	1720389
Line 5: Divide Line 3 by the Year End FTE student enrollment	2228

Thank you.



Entry 6a Audited Statements

Last updated: 11/01/2016

Regents, NYCDOE and Buffalo BOE authorized schools should enter the financial contact information requested and upload the independent auditor's report and internal controls reports as <u>one combined file</u>.

Page 1

School Based Fiscal Contact Information

School Based Fiscal Contact Name	School Based Fiscal Contact Email	School Based Fiscal Contact Phone
Charlene Reid		

Audit Firm Contact Information

School Audit Contact Name	School Audit Contact Email	School Audit Contact Phone	Years Working With This Audit Firm
Eric Cruz			4

If Applicable:

Outsourced Financial Services Firm Name	Outsourced Financial Services Contact	Outsourced Financial Services Email	Outsourced Financial Services Phone	Years Working With This Firm
Charter School Business Managment				4

Please upload as <u>one combined</u> file:

- a. the independent auditor's report on financial statements and notes; and
- b. reports on internal controls over financial reporting and compliance

https://nysed-cso-reports.fluidreview.com/media/assets/survey-uploads/84001/7115486-F7wWrRhhE3/BCSE%20FY16%20Final%20Audit.pdf

ADVISORY COMMENT LETTER

JUNE 30, 2016



Centified Public Accountants

MMB MENGEL METZGER BARR & CO. LLP

Certified Public Accountants

October 27, 2016

To the Board of Trustees Bronx Charter School for Excellence Excellence Community Schools, Inc.

In planning and performing our audit of the combined financial statements of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc. as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the combined financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc.'s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

* * * * *

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of Management, Finance Committee Members, others within the organization, and governmental authorities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We appreciated the outstanding cooperation from your staff that our personnel received during the audit of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc.'s financial statements. Should you have any question or comments, please contact Michelle Cain or Kate Welc.

Very truly yours,

Mongel, Metzger, Barr & Co. LLP

MENGEL, METZGER, BARR & CO. LLP

BRONX, NEW YORK

AUDITED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

OTHER COMBINING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

REPORT REQUIRED BY
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

<u>AND</u>

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS

JUNE 30, 2016
(With Comparative Totals for 2015)



Certified Public Accountants

CONTENTS

AUDITED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	<u>PAGE</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	3
Combined Statement of Financial Position	5
Combined Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Assets	6
Combined Statement of Functional Expenses	7
Combined Statement of Cash Flows	8
Notes to Combined Financial Statements	10
OTHER COMBINING FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Combining Financial Information	23
Combining Statement of Financial Position	24
Combining Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Assets	25
Statement of Functional Expenses – Bronx Charter School for Excellence	26
Statement of Functional Expenses – Excellence Community Schools, Inc.	27
REPORT REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	29

MMB MENGEL METZGER BARR & CO. LLP Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Trustees Bronx Charter School for Excellence Excellence Community Schools, Inc.

Report on the Combined Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying combined financial statements of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc. which comprise the combined statement of financial position as of June 30, 2016, and the related combined statements of activities and changes in net assets, functional expenses and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the combined financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Combined Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these combined financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these combined financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the combined financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the combined financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the combined financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the combined financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the combined financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc. as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on Summarized Comparative Information

We have previously audited Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Friends of Bronx Charter School for Excellence's (now known as Excellence Community Schools, Inc.) June 30, 2015 combined financial statements and we expressed an unmodified opinion on those combined statements in our report dated on October 28, 2015. In our opinion the summarized comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015 is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited combined financial statements from which is has been derived.

Other Report Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 27, 2016 on our consideration of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the control over financial reporting or compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Mongel, Metzger, Barr & Co. LLP

Rochester, New York October 27, 2016

COMBINED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

		June 30,							
<u>ASSETS</u>	-	2016	2015						
CURRENT ASSETS									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	3,111,119	\$ 4,040,679						
Grants and other receivables	•	475,975	211,365						
Prepaid expenses		156,724	126,635						
Security deposits	-	19,195	19,195						
TOTAL CURRENT AS	SSETS	3,763,013	4,397,874						
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net		32,323,697	26,372,964						
OTHER ASSETS									
Investments - restricted		2,510,119	4,613,312						
Bond issuance costs and loan closing costs, net of accumulated		_,,,	1,015,512						
amortization of \$345,746 and \$240,279, respectively		1,275,292	1,380,759						
•	_	3,785,411	5,994,071						
	_	3,703,111	3,777,071						
TOTAL AS	SSETS \$	39,872,121	\$ 36,764,909						
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS									
CURRENT LIABILITIES									
Current portion of bonds payable	\$	445.000	Ф 1 <i>65</i> .000						
Accounts payable	Φ	445,000 518,840	\$ 155,000 950,001						
Accrued expenses		355,347	335,167						
Accrued payroll and benefits		999,176	849,362						
Accrued construction retainage		460,114	1,055,256						
Deferred revenue		1,792,734	344,897						
Deferred lease incentive		10,747	14,907						
Due to related party	-	284,800	274,800						
TOTAL CURRENT LIABIL	ITIES	4,866,758	3,979,390						
OTHER LIABILITIES									
Bonds payable, net		25,247,158	25,778,980						
Reserve for payment of debt service		1,540,000	1,540,000						
TOTAL OTHER LIABIL	ITIES	26,787,158	27,318,980						
		20,707,130	27,310,900						
NET ASSETS									
Unrestricted		8,042,873	5,306,359						
Temporarily restricted		175,332	160,180						
TOTAL NET AS	SETS	8,218,205	5,466,539						
TOTAL LIABILI	ITIES								
AND NET AS		39,872,121	\$ 36,764,909						

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the combined financial statements.

COMBINED STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

		Year end	ed June 30,	
		2016		2015
		Temporarily		
Devenue and and	Unrestricted	restricted	Total	Total
Revenue, gains and other support:				
Public school district Resident student enrollment				
Students with disabilities	\$ 10,866,309	\$ -	\$ 10,866,309	\$ 8,829,307
Grants and contracts:	1,090,309	-	1,090,309	861,633
State and local	87.560			
Federal - Title and IDEA	87,560	-	87,560	284,618
Federal - other	424,530	-	424,530	325,813
Other	105,548	-	105,548	14,258
Food service / Child nutrition program	- 250	-	-	32,591
	6,358	<u> </u>	6,358	2,424
TOTAL REVENUE, GAINS				
AND OTHER SUPPORT	12,580,614	-	12,580,614	10,350,644
Expenses:				
Program:				
Regular education	7,287,339	_	7,287,339	6,310,412
Special education	1,510,116	_	1,510,116	1,374,429
TOTAL PROGRAM EXPENSES	8,797,455		8,797,455	
Management and general	1,899,767	_	1,899,767	7,684,841
Fundraising and special events	86,909	_	86,909	1,582,193
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	10,784,131	-	10,784,131	287,092 9,554,126
SURPLUS FROM SCHOOL OPERATIONS	1,796,483	_	1,796,483	796,518
	-,.,,,,,,		1,770,465	790,316
Support and other revenue: Contributions				
Foundations	700.007	25.000		
Individuals	789,897	25,000	814,897	1,054,095
Corporations	54,523	-	54,523	16,941
Contributed services	1,160	-	1,160	10,000
Fundraising	71,995	-	71,995	59,805
Investment income	4,075	•	4.055	551,389
Miscellaneous income	8,533	-	4,075	14,737
Net assets released from restriction	9,848	(0.949)	8,533	300
TOTAL SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE		(9,848)		
	940,031	15,152	955,183	1,707,267
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	2,736,514	15,152	2,751,666	2,503,785
Net assets at beginning of year	5,306,359	160,180	5,466,539	2,962,754
NET ASSETS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 8,042,873	\$ 175,332	\$ 8,218,205	\$ 5,466,539

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the combined financial statements.

a.		Yeare	June	201	\$ 479	3.27	1.30	5,05′	1.45	, M	2;	25	13.	54	2	25;	15;	14	14;	• •	23,	15′	• •	16	37	181	12	20	10.	\$ 9,55
				Total	\$ 557,661	3.509,767	1,247,144	5,314,572	1,557,970	45,459	8,872	22,930	168,968	528,714	24,631	293,366	189,584	273,509	147,112	1,320	234,602	149,634	•	213,988	375,343	197,020	951,044	1,720	83,773	\$ 10,784,131
				Sub-total	\$ 364,342	ı	228,008	592,350	158,430	27,197	8,872	22,930	168,968	144,347	14,533	4,782	22,701	1	145,588	1,056	68,308	18,180	•	24,145	281,776	197,020	1	1,720	83,773	\$ 1,986,676
016	Supporting Services		Fundraising and	special events	\$ 21,378	ı	1	21,378	5,718	6,477	ı	1	r	11,168	3,452	173	2,214	•	1,524	264	12,262	626	1	871	429	•	ı	•	20,000	86,909
Year ended June 30, 2016	S	Management	and	general	\$ 342,964	•	228,008	570,972	152,712	20,720	8,872	22,930	168,968	133,179	11,081	4,609	20,487	,	144,064	792	56,046	17,201	•	23,274	281,347	197,020	1	1,720	63,773	\$ 1,899,767
	S			Sub-total	\$ 193,319	3,509,767	1,019,136	4,722,222	1,399,540	18,262	1	•	1	384,367	10,098	288,584	166,883	273,509	1,524	264	166,294	131,454	1	189,843	93,567	1	951,044	•	1	\$ 8,797,455
	Program Services		Special	Education	\$ 55,766	801,973	125,576	983,315	262,997	2,500	1	r	•	72,793	1,410	11,880	34,929	20,107	1	1	32,672	27,675	•	40,083	19,755	1	1	1	1	\$ 1,510,116
			Regular	Education	\$ 137,553	2,707,794	893,560	3,738,907	1,136,543	15,762	•	r	1	311,574	8,688	276,704	131,954	253,402	1,524	264	133,622	103,779	•	149,760	73,812	·	951,044	1	•	\$ 7,287,339
			No. of	Positions	6	99	13	87																						
								salaries and wages															nings							

COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

	Year en	ided June 30,
	2016	2015
CASH FLOWS - OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Change in net assets	\$ 2,751,666	\$ 2,503,785
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash		
provided from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	375,343	371,999
Net realized and unrealized losses	460	4,215
Bond premium amortization	(86,822	(83,448)
Changes in certain assets and liabilities affecting operations:		
Grants and other receivables	(264,610) 372,553
Prepaid expenses	(30,089) (20,617)
Security deposits	-	(10,010)
Accounts payable	(431,161	701,697
Accrued expenses	20,180	(26,659)
Accrued payroll and benefits	149,814	105,340
Accrued construction retainage	(595,142) -
Deferred revenue	1,447,837	65,225
Deferred lease incentive	(4,160)	(4,160)
Due to related party	10,000	274,800
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	3,343,316	4,254,720
CASH FLOWS - INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of property and equipment	(6,220,609)	(8,696,309)
Proceeds from sale of investments	2,102,733	7,207,841
NET CASH USED FOR	2,102,733	7,207,041
INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(4,117,876)) (1,488,468)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(4,117,070	(1,400,400)
CASH FLOWS - FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Repayments of bonds payable	(155,000)	-
NET CASH USED FOR		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(155,000)	
THANCING ACTIVITIES	(155,000)	
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(929,560)	2,766,252
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	4,040,679	1,274,427
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 3,111,119	\$ 4,040,679
The state of the s	Ψ 3,111,119	Ψ +,0+0,079

COMBINED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

	Year ende	d June 30,
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION	2016	2015
Cash paid during the year for interest, not including capitalized		
interest of \$944,343 in 2016 and \$957,817 in 2015	\$ 198,957	\$ 188,858
Incurrence of accrued construction retainage		
for construction in progress	\$ -	\$ 482,382

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the combined financial statements.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE A: THE ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of combination

The accompanying combined financial statements include the accounts of Bronx Charter School for Excellence, Inc. (the "Charter School") and Excellence Community Schools, Inc. ("Excellence"), (collectively referred to as the "Organization"). The Organizations are presenting combined financial statements because they have certain common Board of Trustees but no economic interest. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying combined financial statements.

The Organization

The Charter School is an educational corporation operating as a charter school in the borough of the Bronx, New York. On April 29, 2003, the Board of Regents of the University of the State of New York granted Bronx Charter School for Excellence ("BCSE 1") a provisional charter valid for a term of five years and renewable upon expiration. In March 2009 and January 2014, BCSE 1 was awarded a full-term, five-year charter renewal. The current Charter renewal is through July 31, 2019. BCSE 1 was established to prepare young people from New York City to compete for admission to, and succeed in, top public, private and parochial schools by cultivating their intellectual, artistic, social, emotional and ethical development. BCSE 1 offers a challenging and rigorous academic curriculum which, at the earliest of grades, has an eye towards college preparation for grades first through eighth.

On November 2, 2015, the Board of Regents of the University of the State of New York amended the charter agreement permitting an additional school to be opened by the Charter School. During the 2016 fiscal year, the Charter School added Bronx Charter School for Excellence 2 ("BCSE 2") under its expanded charter. BCSE 2 has the authority to operate through July 31, 2021. BCSE 2 was established to provide its students with a solid foundation for academic success, through achievement that exceeds citywide averages and meets or exceeds New York State Standards and national norms in all curriculum areas tested, especially in mathematics and language arts.

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of BCSE 1 and BCSE 2 (collectively referred to as the "Charter School"). All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying financial statements.

Excellence (formerly Friends of Bronx Charter School for Excellence, Inc.) was organized under the laws of the State of New York on July 9, 2001 as a not-for-profit corporation under subparagraph (a)(5) of Section 102 of the Not-for-Profit Corporation Law. Excellence was established to provide technical and financial assistance in the improvement of educational opportunities for school-aged children in the Parkchester area of the Bronx, New York. Prior to fiscal 2007, Excellence solely provided financial assistance to the School in connection with funding the option premium deposit to the landlord and entering into a lease agreement on behalf of the Charter School for a new building facility. From fiscal 2007 through fiscal 2016, Excellence was making new efforts to raise additional funds to support the Charter School. As of June 7, 2016 Excellence amended their certificate of incorporation to change the name of the Corporation from Friends of Bronx Charter School for Excellence, Inc. to Excellence Community Schools, Inc.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE A: THE ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, Cont'd

Educational Services Agreement

The Charter School is entering into an educational services agreement with Excellence effective July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2021 whereby Excellence will provide the Charter School with services related to education and instruction, business operations and human resources and employment. In turn Excellence shall be paid a service fee equal to 12% of all public revenues received by the Charter School during the fiscal year.

Financial Statement presentation

The combined financial statements of the Organization have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The Organization reports information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets and permanently restricted net assets.

These classes of net assets are defined as follows:

<u>Permanently restricted</u> – Net assets resulting from contributions and other inflows of assets whose use by the Organization is limited by donor-imposed stipulations that neither expire by passage of time nor can be fulfilled or otherwise removed by actions of the Organization. The Organization had no permanently restricted net assets at June 30, 2016 or 2015.

<u>Temporarily restricted</u> – Net assets resulting from contributions and other inflows of assets whose use by the Organization is limited by donor-imposed stipulations that either expire by passage of time or can be fulfilled and removed by actions of the Organization pursuant to those stipulations. Temporarily restricted net assets at June 30, 2016 and 2015 are restricted for a library for the new facilities and replication of another Charter School as disclosed in Note B.

<u>Unrestricted</u> – The net assets over which the Governing Board has discretionary control to use in carrying on the Organization's operations in accordance with the guidelines established by the Organization. The Board may designate portions of the current unrestricted net assets for specific purposes, projects or investment.

Revenue and support recognition

Revenue from state and local governments resulting from the Charter School's charter status and based on the number of students enrolled is recorded when services are performed in accordance with the charter agreement.

Revenue from federal, state and local government grants and contracts are recorded by the Charter School when qualifying expenditures are incurred and billable or requirements of the grant are met.

Contributions are recognized as revenue in the year the pledge is received and documented.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE A: THE ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, Cont'd

Contributions

Contributions and unconditional promises to give are recorded as unrestricted, temporarily or permanently restricted support depending on the existence of any donor restrictions. A contribution that is received and expended in the same year for a specific purpose is classified as unrestricted revenue.

Contributions are recorded as restricted support if they are received with donor stipulations that limit the use of the donated assets. When a donor restriction expires, that is, when a stipulated purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities and changes in net assets as net assets released from restrictions.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalent balances are maintained at financial institutions located in New York and are insured by the FDIC up to \$250,000 at each institution. The Organization considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of money market accounts. In the normal course of business, the cash account balances at any given time may exceed insured limits. However, the Organization has not experienced any losses in such accounts and does not believe it is exposed to significant risk in cash and cash equivalents.

Grants and other receivables

Grants and other receivables are stated at the amount management expects to collect from outstanding balances. Management provides for probable uncollectible amounts based on its assessment of the current status of individual receivables from grants, agencies and others. Balances that are still outstanding after management has used reasonable collection efforts are written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts. There was no allowance for doubtful accounts at June 30, 2016 or 2015.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. The Organization capitalizes all purchases of fixed assets in excess of \$5,000. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method on a basis considered adequate to depreciate the assets over their estimated useful lives, which range from 4 to 39 years. Construction in progress represents building, classroom and office improvements which have been performed on a new building that is not yet in service. Construction in progress is stated at cost. No provision for depreciation is made on construction in progress until such time as the relevant assets are completed and put into use. Prior to the bond issuance (Note G), leasehold improvements were amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or the remaining term of the related lease. These improvements are continuing to be amortized over the originally calculated life.

At June 30, 2016, the Charter School had additional commitments of approximately \$7,000,000 for construction in progress related to the completion of the building renovation project, all of which are expected to be incurred during year ending June 30, 2017.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE A: THE ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, Cont'd

Bond issuance costs and loan closing costs

Bond issuance costs and loan closing costs, which consist of deferred financing charges, are stated at cost and are amortized over the term of the bonds and loans which vary from 5 to 30 years through various dates up to April 2043.

Deferred lease incentive

The Charter School leases its office equipment. The lease escalated the original lease payments and caused a buy-out from the prior lease. In accordance with GAAP, the Charter School recognizes the related buy-out credit on a straight-line basis and records the difference between the recognized lease credit and the amounts receivable under the lease as a deferred lease incentive.

Deferred revenue

The Charter School records grant revenue as deferred revenue until it is expended for the purpose of the grant, at which time it is recognized as revenue.

Investments - restricted

Restricted investments consist of cash equivalents and U.S. government bond obligations held for debt service and capitalized interest.

Investment income includes interest, recorded on an accrual basis, dividends, net realized gains and losses, and net unrealized gains and losses, resulting from the change in prevailing market value of investments. Purchase and sales of investments are recorded on a trade-date basis.

Tax exempt status

The Charter School and Excellence are tax-exempt organizations under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and applicable state regulations and, accordingly, are exempt from federal and state taxes on income. The Charter School and Excellence file Form 990 tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and Excellence files in New York State.

Contributed services

The Charter School receives contributed services from volunteers to develop its academic program and to serve on the Board of Trustees. In addition the Charter School received donated transportation services, metro cards, funding for free and reduced-cost breakfasts, lunches and snacks, special education services and physical, occupational, and speech therapy that was also provided for the students from the local district. These services are not valued in the combined financial statements.

The Charter School received contributed legal services which were valued at \$71,995 and \$59,805 and are included in contributed services in the accompanying combined statement of activities and changes in net assets for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

In-kind contributions

Gifts and donations other than cash are recorded at fair market value at the date of contribution.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE A: THE ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, Cont'd

Marketing and recruiting costs

The Organization expenses marketing and recruiting costs as they are incurred. Total marketing and recruiting costs approximated \$24,600 and \$28,200 for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Use of estimates in the preparation of combined financial statements

The preparation of combined financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the combined financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comparatives for year ended June 30, 2015

The combined financial statements include certain prior year summarized comparative information in total, but not by net asset class and functional classification. Such information does not include sufficient detail to constitute a presentation in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, such information should be read in conjunction with the Organization's combined financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2015, from which the summarized information was derived.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation.

Subsequent events

The Organization has conducted an evaluation of potential subsequent events occurring after the statement of financial position date through October 27, 2016, which is the date the combined financial statements are available to be issued. No subsequent events requiring disclosure were noted, except as described in Education Services Agreement section above.

NOTE B: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Charter School received grant and other funding to pay for expenses to complete school replication in Connecticut. The school paid for certain expenses relating to the opening of Stamford Charter School for Excellence ("Stamford"). Further, the School received funding which is to be paid to Stamford to fund operating expenses and is included in due to related party at June 30, 2016 and 2015 in the amounts of \$284,800 and \$274,800, respectively.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE C: SCHOOL FACILITIES

The Charter School entered into a four-year operating lease agreement not cancelable for three years with an unrelated third party for a facility in the borough of Bronx, New York in August 2010. This facility is being used to operate classes for students in grades fifth through eighth. This lease provided a leasehold incentive (work credit) of \$78,173 for costs to be incurred by the Charter School to renovate the exterior of the building providing space for its middle school. The work credit is being amortized against the base rent on a monthly basis during the entire term of this lease. Rent expense totaled approximately \$235,000 and \$234,000 for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Charter School extended the lease through June 2016 when it converted to a month to month agreement. Monthly rental payments were \$14,000 through June 2016.

Excellence entered into a lease with monthly payments of \$4,750 through September 2016.

The future minimum payments on this agreement are as follows:

Year ending June 30.	 mount
2017	\$ 14,250

NOTE D: PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consist of the following:

		June 30,				
		2016		2015		
Land Buildings Furniture and fixtures Computers and software	\$	914,772 6,748,465 240,938 403,909	\$	914,772 6,748,465 202,859 343,139		
Construction in progress	2	5,061,005	1	8,939,245		
Leasehold improvements		911,325		911,325		
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	V=	4,280,414 1,956,717 2,323,697	_	8,059,805 1,686,841 6,372,964		

Total depreciation expense was \$269,876 and \$265,446 for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE E: OPERATING LEASES AND COMMITMENTS

During January 2014, the Charter School canceled its lease of office equipment under a lease agreement that would have expired March 2016. The Charter School entered into a new lease for office equipment under a non-cancelable lease agreement at a monthly cost of \$2,578 that will expire February 2019. Associated with this new lease the Charter School received a buy-out for the remaining payments for its prior lease totaling \$20,800. The Charter School recorded the total buy-out amount as a deferred lease incentive and will recognize approximately \$350 per month for the duration of the new lease as other income. The Charter School recognized approximately \$4,160 for each of the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

The Charter School leases office equipment under non-cancelable lease agreements at a monthly cost of \$1,342 that will expire at various dates through October 2019.

The Charter School has an elevator service agreement at a monthly cost of \$400 through January 2018. This agreement will be automatically renewed every 5 years unless it is terminated by either party.

The future minimum payments on these agreements are approximately as follows:

Year ending June 30.	 Amount
2017	\$ 52,000
2018	43,000
2019	29,000
2020	6,000
2021	 5,000
	\$ 135,000

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE F: FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America establishes a framework for measuring fair value. The framework provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques to measure the fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB ASC 820-10 are described below:

- Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:
 - Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
 - Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
 - Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;
 - Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurements.

The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value. There have been no changes in the methodologies used at June 30, 2016 and 2015:

Cash and cash equivalents, commercial paper, and money market funds: Fair value equals cost.

U.S. Government and Agency securities: Valued by third-party brokers based on terms and conditions using trades, bid price or spread, two sided markets, quotes, benchmark curves, discount rates, TRACE trade reports, financial statements and trustee reports.

The preceding methods described may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Organization believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE F: FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS, Cont'd

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy; the Charter School's restricted investment assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Assets at Fair Value						
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total			
June 30, 2016:		_, ,					
Money market	\$ 2,431,789	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,431,789			
Commercial paper	-	78,330	_	78,330			
Total assets at fair value	\$ 2,431,789	\$ 78,330	\$ -	\$ 2,510,119			
		8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		! <u> </u>			
	-	Assets at	Fair Value				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total			
June 30, 2015:							
Money market	\$ 4,535,059	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,535,059			
Commercial paper	-	8,209	_	8,209			
U.S. government and agency securities	-	70,044	_	70,044			
Total assets at fair value	\$ 4,535,059	\$ 78,253	\$ -	\$ 4,613,312			

Investment securities are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit risk. Due to the risk associated with investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in risk could materially affect the accompanying combined financial statements.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE G: BONDS PAYABLE

During April 2013, Build NYC Resource Corporation issued \$23,310,000 in principal amount of Tax-Exempt Fixed Rate Revenue Bonds ("Series A") and \$690,000 in principal amount of Taxable Fixed Rate Revenue Bonds ("Series B"). Proceeds from the sale included a premium of \$2,111,744, which is being amortized over the life of the bond issue. The proceeds of the bonds were made available to the Charter School pursuant to a special agreement with Build NYC Resource Corporation. The remaining proceeds are to be used to construct additional buildings to consolidate all the school grades into one location and for renovation of the current school facilities.

Revenue bonds outstanding as of June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Series A		Series B		
Year	Principal	Interest Rate	Principal	Interest Rate	Total
Bond payable	\$ 23,310,000	3% - 5.5%	\$ 690,000	5%	\$ 24,000,000
Unamortized bond premium	1,933,980		-		1,933,980
Balance at June 30, 2015	\$ 25,243,980		\$ 690,000		\$ 25,933,980
2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Thereafter Bond payable	\$ - 380,000 485,000 505,000 525,000 21,415,000 23,310,000	3% 3% 4% 4% 4% 4% - 5.5%	\$ 445,000 90,000 - - - - 535,000	5% 5%	\$ 445,000 470,000 485,000 505,000 525,000 21,415,000 23,845,000
Unamortized bond premium	1,847,158				1,847,158
Balance at June 30, 2016	\$ 25,157,158		\$ 535,000		\$ 25,692,158

Principal payments for the Series A and B bonds are due annually, as indicated, on April 1.

The Series A bonds are subject to optional redemption, in whole or in part, at the option of the Issuer at the request of the Charter School on April 1, 2023 or any business day thereafter. The bonds maturing in 2033 and 2043 are subject to mandatory sinking fund installments beginning in 2024 and 2034, respectively.

The Series B bonds have a stated rate and maturity of 5% and 2018, respectively. The bonds are subject to mandatory sinking fund installments beginning in 2016.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE G: BONDS PAYABLE, Cont'd

The bonds are secured by the assets and certain revenue of the Charter School. Additionally, the Charter School Financing Partnership, an organization established to provide access to secondary market financing for charter schools that serve disadvantaged students and communities, has provided funds amounting to \$1,540,000 to be held by the trustee in a Debt Reserve account as additional security. This amount is included in restricted investments in the combined statement of financial position. The Charter School is obligated to pay an annual fee equal to 0.14% of the bonds outstanding principal amount as of March 31st each year, for the use of these funds as security. The funds are to be repaid to the Charter School Financing Partnership upon expiration of the bonds.

In accordance with the loan agreement, the proceeds from the sale of the revenue bonds were placed in a Project Fund, maintained by U.S. Bank. The trustee is to use the monies in this fund to make payments to or on behalf of the Charter School to pay for the costs of the project upon receipt of written requisitions. Any monies remaining in the Project Fund will be transferred to the Redemption Account of the Bond Fund, to be applied by the trustees towards the redemption of the bonds. At June 30, 2016 and 2015, the unexpended balance were \$78,330 and \$78,253, respectively, which is included in restricted investments.

The Charter School is subject to certain loan covenants which require the Charter School to maintain specified cash on hand, debt service coverage ratio and limitations on further indebtedness. The Charter School is in compliance with all loan covenants as of June 30, 2016 and 2015.

Total issuance costs of approximately \$1,621,000 are being amortized over the life of the bonds. Amortization expense of \$105,467 and \$106,553 were recorded for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Charter School incurred net interest expense of approximately \$1,141,000 of which \$944,000 was capitalized and is included in construction-in-progress and approximately \$197,000 is recognized in the combined statement of activities and changes in net assets for the year ended June 30, 2016. The Charter School incurred net interest expense of approximately \$1,147,000 of which \$958,000 was capitalized and is included in construction-in-progress and approximately \$1,89,000 is recognized in the combined statement of activities and changes in net assets for the year ended June 30, 2015.

NOTE H: RETIREMENT PLAN

The Charter School sponsors a defined contribution 403(b) plan covering all eligible employees. The Charter School matches up to 5% of each employee's annual compensation not to exceed the employee's salary deferral amount. The Charter School may also elect to make additional contributions to the plan on a discretionary basis. For the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Charter School made contributions of approximately \$103,000 and \$104,000, respectively.

NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, Cont'd

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 (With Comparative Totals for 2015)

NOTE I: CONTINGENCY

Certain grants and contracts may be subject to audit by funding sources. Such audits might result in disallowance of costs submitted for reimbursement by the Organization. Management is of the opinion that such disallowances, if any, will not have a material effect on the accompanying combined financial statements. Accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the accompanying combined financial statements for such potential claims.

NOTE J: CONCENTRATIONS

At June 30, 2016, approximately 84% of grants and other receivables are due from New York State relating to certain grants.

At June 30, 2015, approximately 88% of grants and other receivables are due from New York State relating to certain grants.

For the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, 95% and 94%, respectively, of total operating revenue and support came from per-pupil funding provided by New York State. The per-pupil rate is set annually by the State based on the school district in which the Charter School's students are located.

OTHER COMBINING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

MMB

MENGEL METZGER BARR & CO. LLP

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMBINING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Board of Trustees Bronx Charter School for Excellence Excellence Community Schools, Inc.

We have audited the combined financial statements of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc. as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and have issued our report thereon dated October 27, 2016, which contained an unmodified opinion on those combined financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the combined financial statements as a whole. The 2016 combining information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the combined financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the combining financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the combined financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the combined financial statements or to the combined financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the combining financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2016, as a whole.

Mongel, Metzger, Barn & Co. LLP

Rochester, New York October 27, 2016

JUNE 30, 2016
With Comparative Totals for 2015)

		20	June 30, 2016		2015	
	Bronx Charter School for Excellence	Excellence Community Schools, Inc.	Eliminations	Total	Total	
	\$ 487,139 475,975 97,336 9,185	\$ 2,623,980 - 59,388 10,010		\$ 3,111,119 475,975 156,724 19,195	\$ 4,040,679 211,365 126,635 19,195	
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	1,254,079	2,693,378	(184,444)	3,763,013	4,397,874	
ts, net of accumulated	2,510,119		1	2,510,119	4,613,312	
, respectively TOTAL ASSETS	1,275,292 3,785,411 \$ 37,357,637	. 2,698,928	\$ (184,444)	1,275,292 3,785,411 \$ 39,872,121	1,380,759 5,994,071 \$ 36,764,909	
NET ASSETS						
	\$ 445,000 486,803 354,377 909 176	\$ 32,037 970		\$ 445,000 518,840 355,347	\$ 155,000 950,001 335,167	
	460,114 2,734 10,747	1,790,000		999,170 460,114 1,792,734 10.747	849,362 1,055,256 344,897 14 907	
OTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	2,814,026	414.169	(184,444)	284,800	3,979,390	
	25,247,158 1,540,000 26,787,158	1 1		25,247,158 1,540,000 26,787,158	25,778,980 1,540,000 27,318,980	
	7,581,121	461,752		8,042,873 175.332	5,306,359	

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 With Comparative Totals for 2015)

		Year	June	20			3	, -	5,	· ·	î																				%								
				Total		199'/55	3,509,767	1,247,144	5,314,572	1.557.970	13,347	84	22,930	168,968	480,151	7,528	249,782	182,309	197,572	139,492	1	174,423	147,749	1	213,988	375,343	197,020	9,848	1,720	62,642	9,517,438								
					•	/																									€9								
		Sub-total	Sub-total	Sub-total		Sub-total		Sub-total		Sub-total		Sub-total	07070	364,342	•	228,008	592,350	158,430	1,506	84	22,930	168,968	105,496	850	4,782	16,881	1	139,492	•	19,681	16,672	•	24,145	281,776	197,020	ı	1,720	62,642	1,815,425
	S				6	^																									69								
	Supporting Services		Fundraising and	special events	010	21,2/8	1	1	21,378	5,718	54	•	•	ı	1,455	31	173	759	•	1	1	710	605	1	871	429	•	•	1	1	32,180								
16	Suppor			Fundra	sbec	6	9																									€9							
Year ended June 30, 2016		Management	and	general	242 064		(e)	228,008	570,972	152,712	1,452	84	22,930	168,968	104,041	819	4,609	16,122	,	139,492	1	18,971	16,070	•	23,274	281,347	197,020	1	1,720	62,642	1,783,245								
Year				1 1	9		_	9	7	0	1				<u>د</u>	∞	0	∞	~1			6)	_			_		~		.s	€A								
	Program Services			Sub-total	103 210	,	3,509,767	1,019,136	4,722,222	1,399,540	11,841		•	•	374,655	8/9/9	245,000	165,428	197,572	•	•	154,742	131,077	'	189,843	93,567	'	9,848	1	1	7,702,013								
				I.	€		.	\ <u></u>	10	_	_							_												1	60 ∥								
			Special	Education	992 55	003,00	801,973	125,576	983,315	262,997	2,500	•	•	•	72,793	1,410	11,880	34,929	20,107	'	'	32,672	27,675	1	40,083	19,755	1	1	•		1,510,116								
	Pro										€.)																									€		
			Regular	Education	\$ 137 553		7,101,134	893,560	3,738,907	1,136,543	9,341	1	ı	•	301,862	5,268	233,120	130,499	177,465	1	1	122,070	103,402	1	149,760	73,812	•	9,848	1	1	\$ 6,191,897								
									salaries and wages															umgs															
									77															2															

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 I COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2015)

				Year ended June 30, 2016	2016			
		Program Services			Supporting Services	S		
	Regular	Special		Management	Fundraising and		22	Year
	Education	Education	Sub-total	general	special events	Sub-total	Total	20 20
							3	
	€ 9	€	€	60	₩	€	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	€9
salaries and wages	1	ı	ı	1	•	1	1	
	6,421	1	6,421	19,268	6,423	25,691	32,112	
	•	1	•	8,788	•	8,788	8.788	
	9,712	•	9,712	29,138	9,713	38,851	48,563	
	3,420	•	3,420	10,262	3,421	13,683	17,103	
	43,584	ı	43,584	1	•	,	43,584	
	1,455	ı	1,455	4,365	1,455	5,820	7,275	
	75,937	1	75,937	1	ı	1	75,937	
	1,524	1	1,524	4,572	1,524	960'9	7,620	
	•	•	•	•	•	r	•	
	264	1	264	792	264	1,056	1,320	
	11,552	1	11,552	37,075	11,552	48,627	60,179	
	377	1	377	1,131	377	1,508	1,885	
	941,196	1	941,196	•	1	r	941,196	
	•		•	1	•	•	•	
				1,131	20,000	21,131	21,131	
	\$ 1,095,442	₽	\$ 1,095,442	\$ 116,522	\$ 54,729	\$ 171,251	\$ 1,266,693	\$

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE AND EXCELLENCE COMMUNITY SCHOOLS, INC.

REPORT REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

MMB MENGEL METZGER BARR & CO. LLP

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees Bronx Charter School for Excellence Excellence Community Schools, Inc.

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the combined financial statements of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools Inc. which comprise the combined statement of financial position as of June 30, 2016 and the related combined statements of activities and changes in net assets, functional expenses and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 27, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the combined financial statements, we considered Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the combined financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools Inc.'s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools Inc.'s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's combined financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools Inc.'s combined financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we have reported to management of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc. in a separate letter dated October 27, 2016.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc.'s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Bronx Charter School for Excellence and Excellence Community Schools, Inc.'s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Mongel, Metzger, Barr & Co. LLP

Rochester, New York October 27, 2016

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE

AGREED UPON PROCEDURES

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016



Certified Public Accountants

MMB

MENGEL METZGER BARR & CO. 11P

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON CSP FUNDING

Board of Trustees Bronx Charter School for Excellence

We have performed the procedures identified below, which were agreed to by the management of Bronx Charter School for Excellence (the "Charter School") and the New York State Education Department ("NYSED"), solely to assist the specified parties in evaluating the Charter School's assertion to NYSED that it has maintained compliance with the requirements of the CSP grant and Federal and NYSED guidelines in managing the CSP grant.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures we performed and our results are as follows:

<u>Procedure No. 1:</u> We will obtain the detail of expenditures incurred for the period under review relating to the CSP grant from the Charter School's accounting software and reconcile to the grant revenue recorded by the Charter School. If the CSP grant revenue does not equal the grant expenditures, we will investigate the differences.

Result

We obtained the detail of expenditures incurred for the period under review relating to the CSP grant from the Charter School's accounting software and the grant revenue recorded by the Charter School. We observed that the CSP grant revenue equaled the grant expenditures.

<u>Procedure No. 2</u>: We will obtain the NYSED approved CSP grant award information, including the budget and any amendments, to determine if the revenue and expenditures recorded for the period appear reasonable.

Result

We observed the Charter School's approved FS-10, FS-10F and final expenditure summary, and it appears that revenue and expenditures in the period are reasonable.

Procedure No. 3: We will select a sample of expenditures from the detail obtained in Procedure No. 1.

- a. Payroll We will select 10 items or 10% of the total number of payroll items charged to the grant, whichever is less.
- b. Other expenses We will select 10 items or 10% of the total number of other expense items charged to the grant, whichever is less.
- c. Using the above selected items, we will:
 - i. Determine if the expenditure is in accordance with the purpose of the grant and that pre-opening expenditures are charged to pre-opening periods.
 - ii. Determine if the expenditure falls into an approved budget category.
 - iii. Determine if the expenditure was charged to the appropriate fiscal period.

Result

We selected a sample two payroll items and two other expenditures. Based on our testing, we noted that the payroll items and expenditures fall into the appropriate budget category and that the payroll items and expenditures were charged to the appropriate fiscal period. For all selections, we observed that the payroll items and expenditures were in accordance with the purpose of the grant and that pre-opening payroll items and expenditures are charged to pre-opening periods.

<u>Procedure No. 4</u>: We will obtain FS-25 form(s) submitted to NYSED during the period under review and perform the following:

- a. Trace expenditures selected in Procedure No. 3 to requests for reimbursement. Determine that items requested for reimbursement had previously been expended or were expended within a month following the request for reimbursement. If items have not yet been requested for reimbursement, inquire of responsible charter school officials as to the plan for requesting reimbursement, and determine if a receivable is recorded, if appropriate.
- b. If FS-25 forms included amounts on Line 4 (Cash Expenditures Anticipated During Next Month), we will determine if funds were expended within 1 month following the date of the request and is at least the amount shown on Line 4.

Result

We obtained Bronx Charter School for Excellence 1's FS-25s and noted the selected items were appropriately included in the request for reimbursement. The requests for reimbursement appear to be in the appropriate period. The FS-25 forms did not include amounts on Line 4 (Cash Expenditures Anticipated During Next Month), therefore testing was not applicable.

There were no FS-25s filed during the year ended June 30, 2016 for Bronx Charter School for Excellence 2. We observed the FS-10F filed, noting all expenses appeared properly included. As there were no FS-25s filed in the period of testing, Line 4 (Cash Expenditures Anticipated During Next Month) testing was not applicable.

Procedure No. 5: For schools with a weighted lottery during the period under review we will:

- a. Obtain documentation that the school received permission from the NYSED Charter School Office for the weighted lottery.
- b. Obtain the results of the weighted lottery.

Result

We noted that the Charter School does not have a weighted lottery, therefore this testing was not applicable.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Charter School's compliance with the requirements of the CSP grant. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of Bronx Charter School for Excellence and the New York State Education Department, and it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Mengel, Metzger, Barr & Co. LLP

Rochester, New York October 6, 2016



GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR ANNUAL BUDGET/QUARTERLY REPORT

	TEMPLATE TABS
RAY tab contains the Instructi	ions
Instructions	Provides description of tabs and input requirements.
Funding by District	Charter School Tuition Rates
LUE tabs require input of info	rmation
1.) Name of School	>Select school name from list. >Enter contact information.
2.) Enrollment	Enter enrollment information for Annual Budget (& Revisions) and Quarterly Actuals. Includes: >Enrollment by Grade >Enrollment by District
3.) Staffing Plan	Enter staffing plan information for Annual Budget (& Revisions) and Quarterly Actuals. Includes: >Full Time Equivalent (FTE), by Position Category, By Quarter
4.) Yearly Budget	Enter Yearly Budget information. Includes: >"Pior Year" column may be completed based upon preliminary data and adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Quarter 2 Actuals a being submitted. (Note: Quarterly Revenue allocation may be set) >Budgeted Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current yeare populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment." >Budgeted FTE for current year is populated based upon input on ta "3.) Staffing Plan." >All other sources of revenue >All expenses >Budget Revisions, as necessary and approved by the school's Boa of Directors, should be submitted when submitting Quarterly Actuals.
5.) Balance Sheet	Enter Balance Sheet information for EdCorps. Separate schools merged into a primary EdCorp should NOT use this tab. >"Pior Year" column may be completed based upon preliminary data and adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Quarter 2 Actuals a being submitted.
6.) Quarterly Report	Enter Actual Quarterly Report information . Includes: >Actual Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current year are populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment." >Actual FTE for current year is populated based upon input on tab "3.) Staffing Plan." >All other sources of revenue >All expenses

CELL COLORS & GUIDANCE COMMENTS

Complete when submitting Actual Quarter 4.

7.) Annual Report Requirement

	Please "mouse-over" the triangle to reveal each comment.	
	= Cells containing RED triangles in the upper right corner contain "guidance comments" on that particular line	item.
	= Cells labeled in ORANGE containe guidance regarding the input of information.	
l	= Enter information into the light BLUE shaded cells.	

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District * (Sum of Charter School Basic Tuition and Supplemental Basic Tuition) -

District		Final 2015-16	Final 2016-17
Code	School District Name	Basic Tuition*	Basic Tuition*



ANNUAL BUDGET & QUARTERLY REPORT TEMPLATE

Bronx Charter School for Excellence -

SCHOOL

Name:	Bronx Charter School for Excellence
-------	-------------------------------------

CONTACT INFORMATION -

Contact Name:	Charlene Reid
Contact Title:	Executive Director
Contact Email:	
Contact Phone:	

REPORT PERIOD -

Current Academic Year:	2016-17
Prior Academic Year:	Err:508

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR EXCEL 2016-17

						ENROLL	MENT BY (GRADES
GRADES	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
INITIAL BUDGETED ENROLLMENT	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
L								

INITIAL BUDGETED	ENROLLMENT	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
TOTAL ENROLLMEN	IT = 810								
							ENROLLI	MENT BY D	ISTRICT
							BUDGET		
		PRIOR YEAR			TOTAL DIS	TRICTS/ENR	OLLMENT B		
		ACTUAL	QUAR	TER 1	QUAR	TER 2	QUAR	RTER 3	QUAR
			Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original
NUMBER OF SCHOO	DL DISTRICTS ENROLLED:	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
NUMBER OF STUDE	NTS ENROLLED:	771.85	810	0	810	0	810	0	810
		PRIOR YEAR	COMPLETE		If budget revis ne completed o	ions ARE mad on tabs 2, 3 an	le, the entire "	'REVISED" bu	the 'REVISED' Idget columns i
		Err:508	QUAR	TER 1	QUAR	TER 2	QUAR	RTER 3	QUAR
PRIMARY/OTHER	DISTRICT NAME(S)	Actual Enrollment	Original Budgeted Enrollment	Revised Budgeted Enrollment	Original Budgeted Enrollment	Revised Budgeted Enrollment	Original Budgeted Enrollment	Revised Budgeted Enrollment	Original Budgeted Enrollment
PRIMARY District	NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	771.85	810		810		810		810
SECONDARY District	(Select from drop-down list) →								
Other District 3	(Select from drop-down list) →								
Other District 4	(Select from drop-down list) →								
Other District 5	(Select from drop-down list) →								
Other District 6	(Select from drop-down list) →								
Other District 7	(Select from drop-down list) →								
Other District 8	(Select from drop-down list) →								

Other District 9

Other District 10

Other District 11

Other District 12

Other District 13

Other District 14

Other District 15

Other District 16

Other District 17

Other District 18

Other District 19

Other District 20

Other District 21

Other District 22

Other District 23

Other District 24

Other District 25

Other District 26

Other District 27

Other District 28

Other District 29

Other District 30

Other District 31

Other District 32

Other District 33

Other District 34

Other District 35

Other District 36

Other District 37

Other District 38

Other District 39

Other District 40

Other District 41

Other District 42

Other District 43

Other District 44

Other District 45

Other District 46

Other District 47

Other District 48

Other District 49

Other District 50

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) →

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

(Select from drop-down list) \rightarrow

.LENCE

	8	9	10	11	12
	90				
-					

	ACTUAL QUARTERLY TOTAL DISTRICTS/ENROLLMENT			
TER 4	QUARTER 1	QUARTER 2	QUARTER 3	QUARTER 4
Revised	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
Column(s) for the	ACTUAL ENROLLMENT BY QUARTER			
TER 4		QUARTER 2		
Revised Budgeted Enrollment	Actual Enrollment	Actual Enrollment	Actual Enrollment	Actual Enrollment

STAFFING PLAN F

*NOTE: Enter the number of FTE positions in the "blue" cells.

*NOTE: If there are NO budget revisions at the time of quarterly submittal leave to the submitted leave to the submittal leave to the submittal leave to the submittal leave to the submittal leave to the submitted leave to the sub

ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE	PRIOR YEAR
	Err:508
	ACTUAL
Executive Management	
Instructional Management	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	
CFO / Director of Finance	
Operation / Business Manager	
Administrative Staff	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	0.0

	ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE				
	21	Ç	2	Q	
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	
4.0		4.0		4.0	
1.0		1.0		1.0	
4.0		4.0		4.0	
9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.0	

INSTRUCTIONAL PERSO	NNEL FTE
Teachers - Regular	
Teachers - SPED	
Substitute Teachers	
Teaching Assistants	
Specialty Teachers	
Aides	
Therapists & Counselors	
Other	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	

	ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE				
Q)1	Q)2	Q	
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	
34.0		34.0		34.0	
10.0		10.0		10.0	
19.0		19.0		19.0	
8.0		8.0		8.0	
2.0		2.0		2.0	
73.0	0.0	73.0	0.0	73.0	

NON INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL	FTE
Nurse	
Librarian	
Custodian	
Security	
Other	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	

	ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE					
Q	1	Q	2	Q		
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original		
2.0		2.0		2.0		
2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0		
84.0	0.0	84.0	0.0	84.0		

TOTAL PERSONNEL S	SERVICE FTE
-------------------	-------------

0.0	

PRIOR YEAR Err:508 ACTUAL

0.0

PRIOR YEAR Err:508

ACTUAL

0.0

R SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE 2016-17

ULL TIME EQUIVALENT ("FTE")

*NOTE: Enter the number of FTE positions in the "blue" cells.

he 'REVISED' Column(s) COMPLETELY BLANK. :ted quarter(s) must be completed on tabs 2, 3 *NOTE: Each quarter, the actual FTE should be input.

ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE			
	3	Ç)4
	Revised	Original	Revised
Executive Management			
Instructional Management		4.0	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators		1.0	
CFO / Director of Finance			
Operation / Business Manager			
Administrative Staff		4.0	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	0.0	9.0	0.0

ACTUAL QUARTERLY FTE						
Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4						
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual			
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE							
	3	Q	4				
	Revised	Original	Revised				
Teachers - Regular		34.0					
Teachers - SPED		10.0					
Substitute Teachers							
Teaching Assistants		19.0					
Specialty Teachers		8.0					
Aides							
Therapists & Counselors		2.0					
Other							
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	0.0	73.0	0.0				

	ACTUAL QUARTERLY FTE								
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4						
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual						
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						

	13	Ç	24	
	Revised	Revised Original Rev		
Nurse				
Librarian				
Custodian		2.0		
Security				
Other				
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	0.0	2.0	0.0	

ACTUAL QUARTERLY FTE								
Q1 Q2 Q3 Q								
Actual	Actual	Actual						
0.0	0.0	0.0						
	Q2 Actual	Q2 Q3 Actual Actual						

				_					
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE FTE	0.0	84.0	0.0	П	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	П

*NOTE: Enter the number of FTE	*NOTE: State the assumptions that are being
positions in the "blue" cells.	made for personnel FTE levels.

ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE	Description of Assumptions
Executive Management	
Instructional Management	Principles & Assistant Principals
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	Director of Placement
CFO / Director of Finance	
Operation / Business Manager	
Administrative Staff	Office & Academic Assitants
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	

INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE	Description of Assumptions
Teachers - Regular	
Teachers - SPED	
Substitute Teachers	
Teaching Assistants	
Specialty Teachers	
Aides	
Therapists & Counselors	Social Workers
Other	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	

NON INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE	Description of Assumptions
Nurse	
Librarian	
Custodian	
Security	
Other	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	

TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE FTE	

						BRONX C	_	CHOOL FO Operating 2016-17	
							4	2016-17	
Total Revenue			#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Total Expenses		.	2,310,976	#NAME?	#NAME?	2,615,065	#NAME?	#NAME?	2,597,710
Net Income		.	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Actual Student Enrollment		772	810	-	-	810	-	-	810
		Prior Year Actua	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Qu	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd
			Original	Revised		Original	Revised		Original
		Err:508	Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget
REVENUE		Allocate Per Pupil Revenue		*NOTE: //	there are NO	budget revision	ons at the time	of auarterly s	submittal leav
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	2016 17	by Quarter		If budget rev	visions ARE m	ade, the entire	"REVISED" b	oudget column	ns for the affe
Per Pupil Revenue	2016-17 Per Pupil Rate	PPR %/Qtr->	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		25.0%
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	#NAME?	PPR 90/QII-2	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
-	#NAIVIE?		#INAIVIE?	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAIVIE?	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAIVIE :
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
-	#N/A #N/A		#N/A #N/A	#N/A #N/A	#NAME? #NAME?	#N/A #N/A	#N/A #N/A	#NAME? #NAME?	#N/A #N/A
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)			#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	#NAME?	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Special Education Revenue			233,782		#NAME?	233,782		#NAME?	233,782
Grants			200,102		.	200,102			200,102
Stimulus					#NAME?			#NAME?	
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community D	evelopment)				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Other			87,075		#NAME?	87,075		#NAME?	87,075
Other					#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		-	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING									
IDEA Special Needs			_		#NAME?	_		#NAME?	_
Title I			47,415		#NAME?	63,220		#NAME?	63,220
Title Funding - Other			1,909		#NAME?	2,546		#NAME?	2,546
School Food Service (Free Lunch)			,		#NAME?	, ,		#NAME?	,
Grants									
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Imp	lementation				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Other					#NAME?			#NAME?	
Other					#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES		-	49,324	-	#NAME?	65,766	-	#NAME?	65,766
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE									
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE			20.000		#NIANEO	60,000		#NIAN4E0	60,000
Contributions and Donations Fundraising			20,000		#NAME? #NAME?	60,000		#NAME? #NAME?	60,000
Erate Reimbursement			4,074		#NAME?	4,074		#NAME?	4,074
Earnings on Investments			4,014		#NAME?	4,014		#NAME?	4,074
Interest Income					#NAME?			#NAME?	
Food Service (Income from meals)			6,941		#NAME?	9,254		#NAME?	9,254
Text Book			-		#NAME?	-,		#NAME?	-
OTHER					#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOL	IRCES	-	31,015	-	#NAME?	73,329	-	#NAME?	73,329
-4			//ss	//***	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			//*******	
TOTAL REVENUE		1 -	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?

						BRONX C	Budget	CHOOL FO Operating 2016-17 -	
otal Revenue otal Expenses let income actual Student Enrollment		- - - 772	#NAME? 2,310,976 #NAME? 810	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? 2,615,065 #NAME? 810	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? 2,597,710 #NAME? 810
		Prior Year Actual		uarter - 7/1 - Revised	9/30	2nd Qu Original	uarter - 10/1 - Revised	12/31	3rd Original
		Err:508	Original Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget
EXPENSES									
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	Avg. No. of Positions								
Executive Management	-				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Instructional Management	4.00		129,682		#NAME?	129,682		#NAME?	129,68
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	1.00		21,876		#NAME?	21,876		#NAME?	21,87
CFO / Director of Finance	-				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Operation / Business Manager	-				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Administrative Staff	4.00		45,883		#NAME?	45,883		#NAME?	45,8
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	9.00	-	197,441	-	#NAME?	197,441	-	#NAME?	197,4
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS Teachers - Regular	34.00		449.191		#NAME?	561,489		#NAME?	561,48
Teachers - Regular Teachers - SPED	10.00		134.370		#NAME?	167,963		#NAME?	167,9
Substitute Teachers	10.00		134,370		#NAME?	107,503		#NAME?	107,9
	10.00		175 260		#NAME?	210.076		#NAME?	210.0
Teaching Assistants	19.00		175,260			219,076			219,0
Specialty Teachers	8.00		118,250		#NAME?	147,812		#NAME?	147,8
Aides	-				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Therapists & Counselors	2.00		35,085		#NAME?	43,857		#NAME?	43,8
Other TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	73.00		912,157	-	#NAME? #NAME?	1,140,196	-	#NAME? #NAME?	1,140,1
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS					•				
Nurse	-				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Librarian	_				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Custodian	2.00		20,981		#NAME?	20,981		#NAME?	20,9
Security	2.00		20,301		#NAME?	20,301		#NAME?	20,0
Other					#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	2.00	-	20,981	-	#NAME?	20,981	-	#NAME?	20,9
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00		1.130.579	_	#NAME?	1,358,618		#NAME?	1,358,6
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS						2,000,020			_,_,_,
Payroll Taxes			94,337		#NAME?	117,922		#NAME?	117,9
Fringe / Employee Benefits			155,035		#NAME?	155,035		#NAME?	155,0
Retirement / Pension			100,000		#NAME?	100,000		#NAME?	200,0
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		-	249,373	-	#NAME?	272,957	-	#NAME?	272,9
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00		1,379,952	-	#NAME?	1,631,575	-	#NAME?	1,631,5
CONTRACTED SERVICES									
Accounting / Audit			34,167		#NAME?	34,167		#NAME?	34,1
Legal			1,326		#NAME?	1,326		#NAME?	1,3
Management Company Fee			399,652		#NAME?	399,652		#NAME?	399,6
Nurse Services			210,002		#NAME?	220,002		#NAME?	300,0
Food Services / School Lunch					#NAME?			#NAME?	
Payroll Services			3,716		#NAME?	3,716		#NAME?	3,7
•			5,. 10		#NAME?	5,. 10		#NAME?	٠,,
Special Ed Services								// IVIL :	
Special Ed Services Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)								#NAME?	
Titlement Services Titlement Services (i.e. Title I) Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting			133,476		#NAME? #NAME?	133,476		#NAME? #NAME?	_133,4

					BRONX C	HARTER S	CHOOL FO	R EXCEL
					BROWN	Budget /	Operating 2016-17	
Total Revenue Total Expenses Net Income Actual Student Enrollment	- - - 772	#NAME? 2,310,976 #NAME? 810	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? 2,615,065 #NAME? 810	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? 2,597,710 #NAME? 810
	Prior Year Actua	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Q	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd (
	Err:508	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget
SCHOOL OPERATIONS								
Board Expenses				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials		43,633		#NAME?	36.361		#NAME?	36.361
Special Ed Supplies & Materials		40,000		#NAME?	00,001		#NAME?	00,001
Textbooks / Workbooks		_		#NAME?	_		#NAME?	_
Supplies & Materials other		_		#NAME?			#NAME?	
Equipment / Furniture		41.188		#NAME?	41.876		#NAME?	41.876
Telephone		8,391		#NAME?	8,391		#NAME?	8,391
Technology		6,498		#NAME?	6,498		#NAME?	6,498
0,		7.000		#NAME?	9,333		#NAME?	9,333
Student Testing & Assessment		1		#NAME?			#NAME?	
Field Trips		3,171		#NAME?	5,286		#NAME?	5,286
Transportation (student)		00.007			40.000			25 500
Student Services - other		26,627		#NAME?	42,983		#NAME?	35,502
Office Expense		20,856		#NAME?	17,380		#NAME?	17,380
Staff Development		9,707		#NAME?	12,942		#NAME?	12,942
Staff Recruitment				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Student Recruitment / Marketing		1,591		#NAME?	1,591		#NAME?	1,591
School Meals / Lunch		8,663		#NAME?	11,551		#NAME?	11,551
Travel (Staff)		2,234		#NAME?	4,219		#NAME?	4,219
Fundraising				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Other		31,639		#NAME?	42,547		#NAME?	<u>32,673</u>
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	-	211,198	-	#NAME?	240,958	-	#NAME?	223,603
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE								
Insurance		17,337		#NAME?	17,337		#NAME?	17,337
Janitorial		8,867		#NAME?	8,867		#NAME?	8,867
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Repairs & Maintenance		46,782		#NAME?	49,776		#NAME?	49,776
Equipment / Furniture				#NAME?			#NAME?	
Security		15,369		#NAME?	15,369		#NAME?	15,369
Utilities		59,133		#NAME?	78,844		#NAME?	78,844
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	-	147,489	-	#NAME?	170,194	-	#NAME?	170,194
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION -				#NAME?			#NAME?	
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY -				#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL EXPENSES		2,310,976	_	#NAME?	2,615,065	_	#NAME?	2,597,710
NET INCOME		#8188# = 0	#NI A N # = 0	#NIAN#=0	#NI ANA=0	#NIAN#=0	#NANATO	#8188C=0
NET INCOME		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?

					BRONX C	Budget	CHOOL FO Operating 2016-17 -	
Total Revenue Total Expenses Net Income Actual Student Enrollment	- - - 772	#NAME? 2,310,976 #NAME? 810	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?		#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	
	Prior Year Actua	1st (Quarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Q	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd
	Err:508	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*								
ENROLLMENT - *School districts are Linked to above Entries* Number of Districts:	1	1			1			
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	772	810			810		-	81
INTO CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	112	810			010			
_		_	_		-		-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ALL OTHER Cohool Bioteinter (Meinhard Arm)	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-		-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)		-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	<u>772</u>	<u>810</u>	<u> </u>		<u>810</u>			<u>81</u>
REVENUE PER PUPIL	<u>-</u> _	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	#NAME?	#NAME?	<u> -</u>	#NAME?	#NAME?
EXPENSES PER PUPIL	_	2,853	-	#NAME?	3,228	_	#NAME?	3,207

		ENCE				
Fotal Revenue		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME
		1	#NAME?			#NAME
Total Expenses		#NAME?		3,107,209	#NAME?	
Net Income Actual Student Enrollment		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME? 810	#NAME?	#NAME?
actual Student Enrollment			- 1	010		
		Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th Q	uarter - 4/1 -	6/30
		Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance
REVENUE		the 'REVISED	D' Column(s) (COMPLETELY	/ BLANK.	
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	0040 47	cted quarter(s)				
	2016-17	25.00/		25.00/	25.00/	
-	Per Pupil Rate	25.0%	#NAME?	25.0% #NAME2	25.0% #NAME2	#NAME
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	#NAME? #N/A	#NAME? #N/A	#NAME?	#NAME? #N/A	#NAME? #N/A	#NAME
- -	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A #N/A	#N/A #N/A	#NAME
_	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
_	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
- -	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME
Special Education Revenue			#NAME?	233,782		#NAME
Grants						
Stimulus			#NAME?			#NAME
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Dev	elopment)		#NAME?	07.075		#NAME
Other			#NAME?	87,075		#NAME
Other			#NAME?			#NAME
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING						
IDEA Special Needs			#NAME?	46,475		#NAME
Title I			#NAME?	63,220		#NAME
Title Funding - Other			#NAME?	2,546		#NAME
School Food Service (Free Lunch)			#NAME?			#NAME
Grants						
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implei	mentation		#NAME?			#NAME
Other			#NAME?			#NAME
Other			#NAME?			#NAME
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES		-	#NAME?	112,241	-	#NAME
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE						
Contributions and Donations			#NAME?	60,000		#NAME
Fundraising			#NAME?			#NAME
Erate Reimbursement			#NAME?	4,074		#NAME
Earnings on Investments			#NAME?			#NAME
Interest Income			#NAME?			#NAME
Food Service (Income from meals)			#NAME?	9,254		#NAME
Text Book			#NAME?	64,379		#NAME
OTHER			#NAME?			#NAME
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOUR	CES	-	#NAME?	137,707	-	#NAME
TOTAL REVENUE		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME
Y 124 124 1240 L		TIN/AIVIE!	#INPAINE!	#INFAIVIE!	TIVE !	77 1 V/AIVIE

		ENCE				
Total Revenue		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Total Expenses		#NAME?	#NAME?	3,107,209	#NAME?	#NAME?
let Income		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Actual Student Enrollment		-	-	810	-	-
		Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th Ç)uarter - 4/1 -	6/30
		Revised		Original	Revised	
=		Budget	Variance	Budget	Budget	Variance
EXPENSES ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	Avg. No. of					
Evocutivo Management	Positions		#NAME?			#NAME
Executive Management Instructional Management	4.00		#NAME?	129,682		#NAME
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	1.00		#NAME?	21,876		#NAME
CFO / Director of Finance	1.00		#NAME?	21,010		#NAME
Operation / Business Manager			#NAME?			#NAME
Administrative Staff	4.00		#NAME?	45,883		#NAME
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	9.00	-	#NAME?	197,441	-	#NAME
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS	0.00			201,112		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Teachers - Regular	34.00		#NAME?	673.787		#NAME
Teachers - SPED	10.00		#NAME?	201,555		#NAME
Substitute Teachers	10.00		#NAME?	201,333		#NAME
Teaching Assistants	19.00		#NAME?	262,891		#NAME
Specialty Teachers	8.00		#NAME?	177,374		#NAME
Aides			#NAME?	211,011		#NAME
Therapists & Counselors	2.00		#NAME?	52,628		#NAME
Other	-		#NAME?			#NAME
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	73.00	-	#NAME?	1,368,235	-	#NAME
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
Nurse	-		#NAME?			#NAME
Librarian	-		#NAME?			#NAME
Custodian	2.00		#NAME?	20,981		#NAME
Security	-		#NAME?			#NAME
Other	=		#NAME?			#NAME
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	2.00	-	#NAME?	20,981	-	#NAME
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00	-	#NAME?	1,586,658	-	#NAME
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS Payroll Taxes			#NAME?	141,506		#NAME
Fringe / Employee Benefits			#NAME?	155,035		#NAME
Retirement / Pension			#NAME?	162,439		#NAME
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		-	#NAME?	458,980	-	#NAME
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00	-	#NAME?	2,045,638	-	#NAME
CONTRACTED SERVICES						
Accounting / Audit			#NAME?	63,872		#NAME
Legal			#NAME?	1,326		#NAME
Management Company Fee			#NAME?	399,652		#NAME
Nurse Services			#NAME?			#NAME
Food Service / School Lunch			#NAME?			#NAME
Payroll Services			#NAME?	3,716		#NAME
Special Ed Services			#NAME?			#NAME
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)			#NAME?			#NAME
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting			#NAME?	<u>133,476</u>		#NAME
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES		-	#NAME?	602,042	-	#NAME

	ENCE				
Total Revenue Total Expenses Net Income Actual Student Enrollment	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? 3,107,209 #NAME? 810	#NAME? #NAME? #NAME?	#NAME #NAME #NAME?
))uarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th Q)uarter - 4/1 - (6/30
	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance
SCHOOL OPERATIONS					
Board Expenses		#NAME?	00.000		#NAM
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials		#NAME?	29,089		#NAM
Special Ed Supplies & Materials		#NAME?	04.070		#NAM
Textbooks / Workbooks		#NAME?	64,379		#NAM
Supplies & Materials other		#NAME?	07.500		#NAM
Equipment / Furniture		#NAME?	37,529		#NAM
Telephone		#NAME?	8,391		#NAM
Technology		#NAME?	6,498		#NAN
Student Testing & Assessment		#NAME?	9,333		#NAN
Field Trips		#NAME?	7,400		#NAM
Transportation (student)		#NAME?	40.000		#NAM
Student Services - other		#NAME?	42,983		#NAM
Office Expense		#NAME?	13,904		#NAM
Staff Development		#NAME?	12,942		#NAM
Staff Recruitment		#NAME?	500		#NAM
Student Recruitment / Marketing		#NAME?	530		#NAM
School Meals / Lunch		#NAME?	11,551		#NAM
Travel (Staff)		#NAME?	4,219		#NAM
Fundraising		#NAME?			#NAM
Other		#NAME?	43,581		#NAM
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	-	#NAME?	292,329	-	#NAM
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE					
Insurance		#NAME?	17,337		#NAM
Janitorial		#NAME?	8,867		#NAM
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest		#NAME?			#NAM
Repairs & Maintenance		#NAME?	46,782		#NAN
Equipment / Furniture		#NAME?			#NAN
Security		#NAME?	15,369		#NAN
Utilities		#NAME?	78,844		#NAN
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	-	#NAME?	167,200	-	#NAN
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION		#NAME?			#NAN
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY		#NAME?			#NAM
OTAL EXPENSES	-	#NAME?	3,107,209	-	#NAM
NET INCOME	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAM

	ENCE				
Total Revenue Total Expenses	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAME?	#NAME
Net Income Actual Student Enrollment	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME? 810	#NAME?	#NAME?
	Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th C	Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*					
Number of Districts:	<u> </u>	_	1	_	
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE			810		
-		-	- 010	-	
-		-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	
-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	
-	 	-	-	-	
- -	 			-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)					
TOTAL ENROLLMENT		_	810	-	
	_				
REVENUE PER PUPIL	÷	#NAME?	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	#NAM
EXPENSES PER PUPIL		#NAME?	3,836		#NAM

				BRONX	CHARTER	SCHOOL
		1	Budget	/ Operatin	a Plan	
		1			J	2016-17
otal Revenue		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAME?
otal Expenses let Income		10,630,960 #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME?	(10,630,960) #NAME?	#NAME?
ctual Student Enrollment		#INAIVIE?	#INAIVIE ?	#INAIVIE ?	#INAIVIE!	#INAIVIE ?
			Total Year		VARIA	ANCE
		Original	Boyland		Original	Revised Budget vs
		Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Budget vs. PY Budget	PY Budge
EVENUE		1				
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	2016-17	1				
•	er Pupil Rate	#NAME?	#NIAME2	#NIAME2	#NIAMES	#NIAN/
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	#NAME? #N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME? #NAME?		#NAMI
- -	#N/A #N/A	#N/A #N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A #N/A	#NAM
- -	#N/A	#N/A #N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A #N/A	#NAM
- -	#N/A #N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A #N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
-	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)	#N/A	#N/A	#NAME?	#NAME?	#N/A	#NAM
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAM
Special Education Revenue		935,128	#NAME?	#NAME?	935,128	#NAM
Grants						
Stimulus	-1	-	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Devi	eiopment)	240,000	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
Other		348,300	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
Other		<u>-</u>	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAM
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING						
IDEA Special Needs		46,475	#NAME?	#NAME?	-7 -	#NAM
Title I		237,076	#NAME?	#NAME?	- /	#NAM
Title Funding - Other		9,546	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
School Food Service (Free Lunch)		-	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
Grants Charter School Breazem (CSR) Blanning & Implem	antatio-		#NIA N 4 E O	#NIA NA EO		# N 1 A * *
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implen	ientation	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	\vdash	#NAM
Other		-	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
Other TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES		293,097	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM #NAM
					_50,001	
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE						
Contributions and Donations		200,000	#NAME?	#NAME?	200,000	#NAM
Fundraising		-	#NAME?	#NAME?	i	#NAM
Erate Reimbursement		16,297	#NAME?	#NAME?	16,297	#NAM
Earnings on Investments		-	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
Interest Income		-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAM
Food Service (Income from meals)		34,704	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
Text Book		64,379	#NAME?	#NAME?	64,379	#NAM
OTHER			#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
	250	215 200	#NAME?	#NAME?	315,380	#NAM
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCE	JES	315,380	#IN/AIVIL:	#IN/AIVIL:	313,300	77147 (14)

				BRONX	CHARTER	SCHOOL
		1	Budget	/ Operatin	g Plan -	
						2016-17
otal Revenue		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
otal Expenses		10,630,960	#NAME?	#NAME?	(10,630,960)	#NAME?
let Income actual Student Enrollment		#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
			Total Year	'	VARIA	ANCE
			TOTAL TEAL		Original	Revised
		Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Budget vs. PY Budget	Budget vs PY Budge
EXPENSES						
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	Avg. No. of Positions					
Executive Management	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAM
Instructional Management	4.00	518,726	#NAME?	#NAME?	(518,726)	#NAM
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	1.00	87,505	#NAME?	#NAME?	(87,505)	#NAM
CFO / Director of Finance Operation / Business Manager	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME? #NAME?	-	#NAM #NAM
Administrative Staff	4.00	183,532	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	9.00	789,763	#NAME?	#NAME?	(789,763)	#NAM
	9.00	703,703	#INAIVIL !	#INAIVIL:	(109,103)	#INAIV
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS					(2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	
Teachers - Regular	34.00	2,245,957	#NAME?	#NAME?	(, -, ,	#NAM
Teachers - SPED Substitute Teachers	10.00	671,851	#NAME?	#NAME? #NAME?	(671,851)	#NAM #NAM
Teaching Assistants	19.00	876,302	#NAME?	#NAME?	(876,302)	#NAM
Specialty Teachers	8.00	591.248	#NAME?	#NAME?	(591,248)	#NAM
Aides	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAN
Therapists & Counselors	2.00	175,426	#NAME?	#NAME?	(175,426)	#NAM
Other	_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	73.00	4,560,785	#NAME?	#NAME?	(4,560,785)	#NAM
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
Nurse	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAM
Librarian	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAM
Custodian	2.00	83,925	#NAME?	#NAME?	(83,925)	#NAM
Security	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAM
Other	_		#NAME?	#NAME?	_	#NAM
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	2.00	83,925	#NAME?	#NAME?	(83,925)	#NAM
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00	5,434,473	#NAME?	#NAME?	(5,434,473)	#NAM
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS						
Payroll Taxes		471,686	#NAME?	#NAME?	(471,686)	#NAM
Fringe / Employee Benefits Retirement / Pension		620,141	#NAME?	#NAME?	(620,141)	#NAM
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		<u>162,439</u> 1,254,267	#NAME? #NAME?	#NAME? #NAME?	<u>(162,439)</u> (1,254,267)	#NAM #NAM
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00	6,688,740	#NAME?	#NAME?	(6,688,740)	#NAM
CONTRACTED SERVICES						
Accounting / Audit		166,371	#NAME?	#NAME?	(166,371)	#NAM
Legal		5,305	#NAME?	#NAME?	(5,305)	#NAM
Management Company Fee		1,598,610	#NAME?	#NAME?	(1,598,610)	#NAM
Nurse Services		-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAM
Food Service / School Lunch		14 962	#NAME?	#NAME?	(14.000)	#NAM
Payroll Services Special Ed Services		14,863	#NAME?	#NAME? #NAME?	(14,863)	#NAM
•		-	#NAME? #NAME?	#NAME?		#NAM #NAM
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)						77 T W/ SIV
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I) Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting		533,905	#NAME?	#NAME?	(533,905)	#NAM

			BRONX	CHARTER	SCHOOL I
		Rudget	/ Operatin		
		Buuget	Operatiii	y Fiaii	2016-17
Total Revenue	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Total Expenses	10,630,960	#NAME?	#NAME?	(10,630,960)	#NAME?
Net Income	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Actual Student Enrollment					
					'
		Total Year		VARI	ANCE
	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget vs. PY Budget	Revised Budget vs. PY Budget
SCHOOL OPERATIONS					
Board Expenses	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAME?
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	145,443	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAME
Special Ed Supplies & Materials	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAME
Textbooks / Workbooks	64,379	#NAME?	#NAME?	(64,379)	#NAME1
Supplies & Materials other	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAME
Equipment / Furniture	162,469	#NAME?	#NAME?	(162,469)	#NAME
Telephone	33,566	#NAME?	#NAME?	(33,566)	#NAME
Technology	25,993	#NAME?	#NAME?	(25,993)	#NAME
Student Testing & Assessment	35,000	#NAME?	#NAME?	(35,000)	#NAME
Field Trips	21,143	#NAME?	#NAME?	(21,143)	#NAME
Transportation (student)	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAME
Student Services - other	148,095	#NAME?	#NAME?	(148,095)	#NAME
Office Expense	69,520	#NAME?	#NAME?	(69,520)	#NAME
Staff Development	48,533	#NAME?	#NAME?	(48,533)	#NAME
Staff Recruitment	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAME
Student Recruitment / Marketing	5,305	#NAME?	#NAME?	(-,)	#NAME
School Meals / Lunch	43,314	#NAME?	#NAME?	(43,314)	#NAME
Travel (Staff)	14,891	#NAME?	#NAME?	(14,891)	#NAME
Fundraising	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAME
Other	150,439	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAME
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	968,088	#NAME?	#NAME?	(968,088)	#NAME
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE					
Insurance	69,349	#NAME?	#NAME?	(,,	#NAME
Janitorial	35,470	#NAME?	#NAME?	(, -,	
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest	-	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAME
Repairs & Maintenance	193,115	#NAME?	#NAME?	(193,115)	#NAME
Equipment / Furniture		#NAME?	#NAME?	- (04.4=0)	#NAME
Security	61,478	#NAME?	#NAME?	· · · · /	#NAME
Utilities	295,667	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAME
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	655,078	#NAME?	#NAME?	(655,078)	#NAME
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	-	#NAME?	#NAME?		#NAME
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	#NAME
TOTAL EXPENSES	10,630,960	#NAME?	#NAME?	(10,630,960)	#NAME?
NET INCOME	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?

			BRONX	CHARTER	SCHOOL
	1	Budge	t / Operatin	ıg Plan	
					2016-17
Total Revenue	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Total Expenses	10,630,960	#NAME?	#NAME?	(10,630,960)	#NAME?
Net Income	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?
Actual Student Enrollment				l	l
		Total Year		VARI	ANCE
				Original	Revised
	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Budget vs. PY Budget	
					-
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*	Ī				
Number of Districts:					
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE					
-					
-					
-					
·					
- -					
-					
-					
-					
-					
-					
-					
-					
-					
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)					
TOTAL ENROLLMENT					
REVENUE PER PUPIL					
EXPENSES PER PUPIL					

	OR EXCELLENCE
Total Revenue	
Total Expenses Net Income	
Actual Student Enrollment	
	DECODIDATION OF ACCUMPTIONS
	DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS
REVENUE	ľ
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	2016-17
	upil Rate
	#NAME?
·	#N/A
<u> </u>	#N/A #N/A
<u> </u>	#N/A #N/A
	#N/A
· 🗆	#N/A
-	#N/A
<u> </u>	#N/A #N/A
	#N/A #N/A
<u> </u>	#N/A
-	#N/A
· _	#N/A
- ALL OTHER Cabaal Districts: (Weighted Aug.)	#N/A
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg) TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average	#N/A
Per Pupil Funding)	#NAME?
Special Education Revenue	
Grants	
Stimulus DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Develop	ment)
Other	Per Pupil Supplemental
Other	· ' ''
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES	
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING	
IDEA Special Needs	
Title I	
Title Funding - Other	Title II
School Food Service (Free Lunch)	
Grants Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implement	ation
Other	
Other	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES	
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE	
Contributions and Donations	
Fundraising	
Erate Reimbursement	
Earnings on Investments	
Interest Income Food Service (Income from meals)	School Lunch Fees
Text Book	NYSTL
OTHER	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES	
TOTAL REVENUE	

		OR EXCELLENCE
Total Revenue		
Total Expenses Net Income		
Actual Student Enrollment		
Total Statem Emoliment		
		DESCRIPTION OF ACCUMPTIONS
		DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS
EXPENSES ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	Avg. No. of	
	Positions	
Executive Management	-	I
Instructional Management	4.00	I
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	1.00	I
CFO / Director of Finance Operation / Business Manager	-	I
Administrative Staff	4.00	I
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	9.00	
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS		
Teachers - Regular	34.00	
Teachers - SPED	10.00	
Substitute Teachers	-	
Teaching Assistants	19.00	
Specialty Teachers	8.00	
Aides		
Therapists & Counselors	2.00	
Other TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	73.00	
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS		
Nurse	-	
Librarian	-	
Custodian	2.00	
Security	-	
Other		
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	2.00	
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00	
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		FIGA : 5111
Payroll Taxes		FICA + SUI
Fringe / Employee Benefits Retirement / Pension		I
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	84.00	
CONTRACTED SERVICES		l
Accounting / Audit		I
Legal		I
Management Company Fee		I
Nurse Services		I
Food Service / School Lunch		I
Payroll Services		I
Special Ed Services		I
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)		I
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting		I
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES		I

	OR EXCELLENCE
Total Revenue	
Total Expenses	
Net Income	
Actual Student Enrollment	
	DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS
SCHOOL OPERATIONS	
Board Expenses	
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	
Special Ed Supplies & Materials Textbooks / Workbooks	
Supplies & Materials other	
Equipment / Furniture	
Telephone	
Technology	
Student Testing & Assessment	
Field Trips	
Transportation (student)	
Student Services - other	
Office Expense	
Staff Development Staff Recruitment	
Student Recruitment / Marketing	
School Meals / Lunch	
Travel (Staff)	
Fundraising	
Other	
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	
Insurance Janitorial	
Janitoriai Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest	
Repairs & Maintenance	
Equipment / Furniture	
Security	
Utilities	
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION - RESERVES / CONTINGENCY -	
TOTAL EXPENSES	

	OR EXCELLENCE
Total Revenue	
Total Expenses	
Net Income Actual Student Enrollment	
Actual Student Enrollment	
	DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries* Number of Districts: NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	
- ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)	
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	
REVENUE PER PUPIL	
EXPENSES PER PUPIL	

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE BALANCE SHEET 2016-17

	Prior Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Err:508	As of 9/30	As of 12/31	As of 3/31	As of 6/30
<u>ASSETS</u>					
CURRENT ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	-	-	-
Grants and contracts receivable	-	-	-	-	-
Accounts receivables	-	-	-	-	-
Prepaid Expenses	-	-	-	-	-
Contributions and other receivables	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>	
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-
PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net	_	-	-	-	-
OTHER ASSETS	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
				'	
TOTAL ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS					
CURRENT LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	-	-	-	-	-
Accrued payroll and benefits Deferred Revenue	-	-	-	-	-
Current maturities of long-term debt		-		-	
Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable	_	-	-	-	_
Other	<u>-</u>	_		_	<u>-</u>
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	-	-	-	-	-
LONG-TERM DEBT and NOTES PAYABLE, net current maturities	<u>-</u>			-	<u>-</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES	<u>-</u>	-	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
NET ASSETS					
Unrestricted	_	-	-	-	-
Temporarily restricted	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	_	_	<u>-</u>
TOTAL NET ASSETS	<u>-</u>	-			<u>-</u>
TOTAL LIANU (TIES					
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	-	-	-	-	-

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR E

Budget / Operating Plan 2016-17 Total Revenue #NAME? #NAME? Total Expenses #NAME? #NAME? Net Income #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? **Actual Student Enrollment** 1st Quarter - 7/1 - 9/30 2nd Quarter - 10/1 - 12/31 3rd (*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed Current Current Actual Variance Actual Actual Budget Budget REVENUE
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES Per Pupil Revenue CY Per Pupil Rate NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #NI/Δ #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? #N/A #NAME? #NAME? ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0) #N/A #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding) #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Special Education Revenue #NAME? #NAME? Grants Stimulus #NAME? #NAME? DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development) #NAME? #NAME? Other #NAME? #NAME? Other #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES #NAME? #NAME? REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING **IDEA Special Needs** #NAME? #NAME? Title I #NAME? #NAME? Title Funding - Other #NAME? #NAME? School Food Service (Free Lunch) #NAME? #NAME? Grants Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation #NAME? #NAME? Other #NAME? #NAME? Other #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES #NAME? #NAME? LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE Contributions and Donations #NAME? #NAME? Fundraising #NAME? #NAME? Erate Reimbursement #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Earnings on Investments #NAME? #NAME? Interest Income Food Service (Income from meals) #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? OTHER #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE #NAME? #NAME?

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR E Budget / Operating Plan 2016-17 Total Revenue #NAME? #NAME? Total Expenses #NAME? #NAME? Net Income #NAMF? #NAME? #NAME? **Actual Student Enrollment** #NAME? 1st Ouarter - 7/1 - 9/30 2nd Quarter - 10/1 - 12/31 3rd (*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed Current Current Actual Variance Actual Variance Actual Budget Budget EXPENSES Quarter 0 ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS No. of Positions **Executive Management** #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Instructional Management #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Deans, Directors & Coordinators #NAME? #NAME? CFO / Director of Finance #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Operation / Business Manager #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Administrative Staff #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS Teachers - Regular #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Teachers - SPED #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Substitute Teachers #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Teaching Assistants #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Specialty Teachers #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Aides #NAME? Therapists & Counselors #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Other #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Nurse Librarian #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Custodian #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Security #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Other #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS #NAME? #NAME? Payroll Taxes Fringe / Employee Benefits #NAME? Retirement / Pension #NAME? #NAME? TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS #NAME? #NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

#NAME?

TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS

CONTRACTED SERVICES
Accounting / Audit

Management Company Fee

Food Service / School Lunch

Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)

TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES

Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting

Legal

Nurse Services

Payroll Services

Special Ed Services

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR E Budget / Operating Pla

2016-17 Total Revenue #NAME? #NAME? Total Expenses #NAME? #NAME? Net Income #NAME? #NAMF? Actual Student Enrollment #NAME? #NAME? 1st Quarter - 7/1 - 9/30 2nd Quarter - 10/1 - 12/31 3rd (*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed Current Current Actual Budget Actual Budget Variance Actual SCHOOL OPERATIONS **Board Expenses** #NAME? #NAME? Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials #NAME? #NAME? Special Ed Supplies & Materials #NAME? #NAME? Textbooks / Workbooks #NAME? #NAME? Supplies & Materials other #NAME? #NAME? Equipment / Furniture #NAME? #NAME? Telephone #NAME? #NAME? Technology #NAME? #NAME? Student Testing & Assessment #NAME? #NAME? Field Trips #NAME? #NAME? Transportation (student) #NAME? #NAME? Student Services - other #NAME? #NAME? Office Expense #NAME? #NAME? Staff Development #NAME? #NAME? Staff Recruitment #NAME? #NAME? Student Recruitment / Marketing #NAME? #NAME? School Meals / Lunch #NAME? #NAME? Travel (Staff) #NAME? #NAME? Fundraising #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Other TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS #NAME? #NAME? **FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** #NAME? #NAME? Insurance Janitorial #NAME? #NAME? Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest #NAME? #NAME? Repairs & Maintenance #NAME? #NAME? Equipment / Furniture #NAME? #NAME? Security #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? Utilities #NAME? TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE #NAME? #NAME? DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION -#NAME? #NAME? RESERVES / CONTINGENCY -#NAME? #NAME? TOTAL EXPENSES #NAME? #NAME? NET INCOME #NAME? #NAME?

				BI	RONX CHAI	RTER SCHO	OL FOR
				D.		udget / Ope	
						2016	
Total Revenue	Τ -	#NAME?	-1	-	#NAME?		
Total Expenses	I .	#NAME?	.	_	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	_
Net Income		#NAME?	_	-	#NAME?	_	-
Actual Student Enrollment	.	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	-
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	1st (Quarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Quarter - 10/1 - 12/31			3rd
	Actual	Current Budget	Variance	Actual	Current Budget	Variance	Actual
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*							
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
-	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?		
-	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
-	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
-	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
-	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
•	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
-	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
-	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
•	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
•		#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?		
•	-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?		
-	-	#NAME?		-	#NAME?	-	
-	-	#NAME?		-	#NAME?	-	
ALL OTHER Calcad Districtor / Counts O		#NAME? #NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	-			-		-	
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	<u> </u>	#NAME?		<u>-</u>	#NAME?		
REVENUE PER PUPIL	<u> </u>	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	#NAME?	- 1	
EXPENSES PER PUPIL	_	#NAME?	_	_	#NAME?	_	-

MAME							
Total Expense Final				E			
MAME							
Note in come #NAME?	Total Revenue		#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed Quarter - 1/1 - 3/3 Atth Quarter - 4/1 - 5/30 Variance REVENUE REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	Total Expenses			-	-		-
"NOTE: Errollment, Revenue and Expediture Data in the Total and Variance Analysis 'Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed "NOTE: Brollment, Revenue and Expediture Data in the Total and Variance Current Budget variance Current Budget variance REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES REVENUES ROM STATE SOURCES NYC CHANCELLORS OFFICE "NYC CHANCELLORS OFFICE "NYA "NYA "NYA "NYA "NYA "NYA "NYA "NYA				-	-		-
NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the Total and Variance Rudget	Actual Student Enrollment		#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
REVENUE REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES REVENUES PROM STATE SOURCES PEP Flugil Reyenue NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE NYC CHANCELOR'S OFFICE NY			Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th	Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES Per Pupil Revenue N°C CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE ***RAME** ***PAMME** - ***RAME**							
REVENUE REVENUE S FOM STATE SOURCES REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING RINAME?	Analysis Section is based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Co	mpieteu	Cumant			Cumant	
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES PROPERTY Pupil Rate				Variance	Actual		Variance
Per Pupil Revenue	REVENUE						
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE #NAME?							
#NAME? #NA			//NIAN4EO			((NIANAEO	
#NAME? #NA	NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE						-
#NAME? #NA	_						
#MAME? #NAME? #NA	-			-			-
#NAME? #NA	-			-			-
#NAME? #NA	-	#N/A	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
#NAME?	-			-			-
#NAME? #N	-			-			-
#NAME? #N	-			-			-
#NAME? - #NA	-						-
#NAME? - #NA	- -						
#NAME? - #NA	-			-			-
#NAME? #N	-		#NAME?	-			-
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	-		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Special Education Revenue #NAME?			#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Stimulus		#NAME?		-	-		-
Stimulus	· ·		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development) Other Other (#NAME? - #NAME? -			//NIANAEO			((5145450	
Other							-
Other TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING IDEA Special Needs #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Title I #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? School Food Service (Free Lunch) #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Grants #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Other #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Other #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? ELOCAL and OTHER REVENUE Contributions and Donations #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Erate Reimbursement #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Earnings on Investments #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Interest Income #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Food Service (Income from meals) #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME?							
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING IDEA Special Needs #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Title I #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? School Food Service (Free Lunch) #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Grants Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Other #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Other #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE Contributions and Donations #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Erate Reimbursement #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Earnings on Investments #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Interest Income #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? Food Service (Income from meals) #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME?							_
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING IDEA Special Needs					_		
IDEA Special Needs			### WINE.				
Title I			#NIAME2			#NIAME2	
Title Funding - Other School Food Service (Free Lunch) Grants Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation Other Other Other #NAME? - #N	· ·						
School Food Service (Free Lunch)							-
Grants Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation #NAME? - #N	_			-			-
Other							
Other #NAME? - #NAME? - TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES #NAME? - - #NAME? - LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE #NAME? - - #NAME? - - #NAME?	Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES #NAME? #NAME? #NAME? LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE Contributions and Donations #NAME? - #N	Other		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE #NAME? - #NAME?							
Contributions and Donations #NAME? - #NAME? - Fundraising #NAME? - #NAME? - Erate Reimbursement #NAME? - #NAME? - Earnings on Investments #NAME? - #NAME? - Interest Income #NAME? - #NAME? - Food Service (Income from meals) #NAME? - #NAME? - Text Book #NAME? - #NAME? - OTHER #NAME? - #NAME? - TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - - #NAME? -	TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES		#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
Fundraising #NAME? - #NAME? - Erate Reimbursement #NAME? - #NAME? - Earnings on Investments #NAME? - #NAME? - Interest Income #NAME? - #NAME? - Food Service (Income from meals) #NAME? - #NAME? - Text Book #NAME? - #NAME? - OTHER #NAME? - #NAME? - TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - - #NAME? -	LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE						
Erate Reimbursement #NAME? - #NAME? - Earnings on Investments #NAME? - #NAME? - Interest Income #NAME? - #NAME? - Food Service (Income from meals) #NAME? - #NAME? - Text Book #NAME? - #NAME? - OTHER #NAME? - #NAME? - TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - - #NAME? -	Contributions and Donations		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Earnings on Investments #NAME? - #NAME? - Interest Income #NAME? - #NAME? - Food Service (Income from meals) #NAME? - #NAME? - Text Book #NAME? - #NAME? - OTHER #NAME? - #NAME? - TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - - #NAME? -	Fundraising			-			-
Interest Income				-			-
Food Service (Income from meals)				-			-
Text Book #NAME? - #NAME? - OTHER #NAME? - #NAME? - TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - - #NAME? -				-			-
OTHER TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME?							-
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES #NAME? - #NAME? - #NAME?							-
TOTAL DEVENUE "NAMES" "NAMES	TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL MIN OTHER SOURCES		#INAIVIE ?	-	-	#INAIVIE ?	-
TOTAL NEVEROL #NAME! - #NAME!	TOTAL REVENUE		#NAME?	_		#NAME?	

		XCELLENC	F			
		n	· _			
		"111117			""	
Total Revenue		#NAME?	-	- #NAME?		
Total Expenses		#NAME?	-	- #NAME?		
Net Income		#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
Actual Student Enrollment		#NAME?	- 1	-	#NAME?	-
		Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th (Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN th Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Qua		•			•	
,	artor Compressor	Current Budget	Variance	Actual	Current Budget	Variance
EXPENSES	Quarter 0	buuyet	variance	Actual	Buuget	variance
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	No. of Positions					
Executive Management	#NAME?	#NAME?	-1		#NAME?	
Instructional Management	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
CFO / Director of Finance	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Operation / Business Manager	#NAME?	#NAME?			#NAME?	
Administrative Staff	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
Teachers - Regular	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Teachers - SPED	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Substitute Teachers	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Teaching Assistants	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Specialty Teachers	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Aides	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Therapists & Counselors	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Other	#NAME?	#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
Nurse	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Librarian	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Custodian	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Security	#NAME?	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Other	#NAME?	#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		//NIA.N4EO			//NIAN4E0	
Payroll Taxes		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Fringe / Employee Benefits		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Retirement / Pension TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		#NAME?	-		#NAME? #NAME?	
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	#NAME?	#NAME?			#NAME?	
CONTRACTED SERVICES						
Accounting / Audit		#NAME?	- 1		#NAME?	
Legal		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Management Company Fee		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Nurse Services		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Food Service / School Lunch		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Payroll Services		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Special Ed Services		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting		#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES		#NAME?			#NAME?	

		_			
	XCELLENCE	E			
	n				
Total Revenue	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
Total Expenses	#NAME?	-	_	#NAME?	_
Net Income	#NAME?	-	_	#NAME?	-
Actual Student Enrollment	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
	Quarter - 1/1 - 3	3/31	4th C	Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed					
	Current Budget	Variance	Actual	Current Budget	Variance
SCHOOL OPERATIONS					
Board Expenses	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Special Ed Supplies & Materials	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Textbooks / Workbooks	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Supplies & Materials other	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Equipment / Furniture	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Telephone	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Technology	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Student Testing & Assessment	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Field Trips	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Transportation (student)	#NAME?			#NAME?	
Student Services - other	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Office Expense	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Staff Development	#NAME?			#NAME?	-
Staff Recruitment	#NAME?			#NAME?	-
Student Recruitment / Marketing	#NAME?			#NAME?	
School Meals / Lunch	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Travel (Staff)	#NAME?			#NAME?	
Fundraising	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Other	#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE					
Insurance	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Janitorial	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Repairs & Maintenance	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Equipment / Furniture	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Security	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Utilities	#NAME?	_		#NAME?	
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	#NAME?			#NAME?	
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY	#NAME?			#NAME?	
TOTAL EXPENSES	#NAME?		-	#NAME?	
NET INCOME	#NAME?		<u>-</u>	#NAME?	

	XCELLENC	·E			
		·C			
	n				
Total Revenue	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
Total Expenses	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
Net Income	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	-
Actual Student Enrollment	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	-
MOTE For the set December and For diture Date IN the IT-tel and Verices	Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th (Quarter - 4/1 - (6/30
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed					
	Current			Current	
	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*					
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
•	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
•	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
•	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
•	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-		#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	<u> </u>	#NAME?	
-	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	#NAME?	-	-	#NAME?	
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	#NAME?	
REVENUE PER PUPIL	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	<u> -</u>	#NAME?	<u>-</u>
EXPENSES PER PUPIL	#NAME?	_		#NAME?	-

					BRO	NX CHARTE	ER SCHOO	L FOR EX
						Bud	get / Opera	ting Plan
							2016-1	_
otal Revenue		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?		-
otal Expenses			_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?	_	_
let Income		[_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?	_	_
Actual Student Enrollment			_	_			_	_
				ı				
						TOTALS	AND VARIAN	ICE ANALVO
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Tota	l and Variance			Actual				ICE ANALIS
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Co			Current	vs.		Actual	Original	Actual
•	.		Budget (Current	Current	Current	vs. Current	Budget (Current	vs. Origina
		Actual	Quarter)	Budget	Budget - TY	Budget TY	Quarter)	Budget
REVENUE	'		,		•	•		
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES								
Per Pupil Revenue	CY Per Pupil Rate							
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
-	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	#N/A	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding) #NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
Special Education Revenue		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Grants								
Stimulus		-	-	-			-	
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development)		-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
Other		-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
Other		_ <u>-</u>						
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES			-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING								
IDEA Special Needs		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Title I		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Title Funding - Other		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
School Food Service (Free Lunch)		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Grants								
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Other		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Other			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	#NAME?	#NAME?	_	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE								
Contributions and Donations	1		_		#NAME?	#NAME?	_	
Fundraising			-	_	#NAME?			
Erate Reimbursement			_	_	#NAME?		-	
Earnings on Investments			_		#NAME?			
Interest Income		-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
Food Service (Income from meals)		-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
Text Book		-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
OTHER		-	_				-	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES				_	#NAME?		-	
	'					w:		

					BRO	NX CHARTE	R SCHOO	L FOR EXC
		•				Bud	get / Opera	ating Plan
							2016-1	.7
Total Revenue		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Total Expenses		-	_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?	_	-
Net Income		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment		-	-	-			-	-
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN t	ne 'Total and Variance			Actual				ICE ANALYS
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Qu		Actual	Current Budget (Current Ouarter)	vs. Current Budget	Current Budget - TY	Actual vs. Current Budget TY	Original Budget (Current Quarter)	Actual vs. Original Budget
TYPENCEC	Ouartar 0	71010101	Qualitor)	Duagot	Duagot !!	-augut 11	Quiu. (O.)	Zuugot
EXPENSES ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	Quarter 0 No. of Positions							
Executive Management	#NAME?		-	_	#NAME?	#NAME?		
Instructional Management	#NAME?	-		-				_
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	#NAME?				#NAME?		_	
CFO / Director of Finance	#NAME?	-		-		#NAME?	_	_
							-	-
Operation / Business Manager	#NAME?	-	-	-			-	-
Administrative Staff	#NAME?	-		<u> </u>				-
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS								
Teachers - Regular	#NAME?	-	-	-			-	-
Teachers - SPED	#NAME?	-		-			-	-
Substitute Teachers	#NAME?	-		-	min divice.		-	-
Teaching Assistants	#NAME?	-	-	-			-	-
Specialty Teachers	#NAME?	-	-	-			-	-
Aides	#NAME?	-	-	-			-	-
Therapists & Counselors	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Other	#NAME?		_		#NAME?	#NAME?	_	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS								
Nurse	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Librarian	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Custodian	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Security	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Other	#NAME?	_	_		#NAME?	#NAME?	_	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	#NAME?	-	-	-		#NAME?	-	-
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	#NAME?	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS								
Payroll Taxes	ı	- 1	_	l -	#NAME?	#NAME?	_	l .
Fringe / Employee Benefits		-	-	-		#NAME?	-	
Retirement / Pension		-		_	+			i .
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS				_		#NAME?		
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	#NAME?			-			_	
	#IVAIVIL:				#IN/AIVIL:	#IVAIVIL:		
CONTRACTED SERVICES				I	#NAME?	#NAME?		
Accounting / Audit		-	-	-		#NAME? #NAME?	-	-
Legal		-	-	-			-	-
Management Company Fee		-					-	
Nurse Services		-		- -			-	
Food Service / School Lunch		-	-			#NAME?	-	
Payroll Services		-	-	-	771 47 UVICE 1		-	
Special Ed Services		-	-	-	#NAME?		-	
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)		-	-	-		#NAME?	-	1
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting								
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES		-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	

				BRO	NX CHARTE	R SCHOO	L FOR EXC
					Bud	get / Opera	ting Plan
						2016-1	7
Total Revenue	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Total Expenses	1 .	_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?	_	_
Net Income	1 .	_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?	_	_
Actual Student Enrollment	l .	_	_			_	_
					TOTALS	AND VARIAN	CE ANALYSI
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance		Current	ACTUAI VS.		Actual	Original	Actual
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed		Budget	٧٥.		VS.	Budget	VS.
		(Current	Current	Current	Current	(Current	Original
	Actual	Quarter)	Budget	Budget - TY	Budget TY	Quarter)	Budget
SCHOOL OPERATIONS							
Board Expenses		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Special Ed Supplies & Materials	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Textbooks / Workbooks		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Supplies & Materials other		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Equipment / Furniture		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Telephone		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Technology		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Student Testing & Assessment	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Field Trips	-	-				-	-
Transportation (student)	-	-				-	-
Student Services - other		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Office Expense		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Staff Development	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Staff Recruitment		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Student Recruitment / Marketing		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
School Meals / Lunch		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Travel (Staff)		-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Fundraising	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Other	_	_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?		
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE				(())	(())		
Insurance	-	-				-	
Janitorial		-				-	-
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest		-				-	-
Repairs & Maintenance Equipment / Furniture	<u> </u>	-				-	-
Security						-	
						-	
Utilities TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	-	<u>-</u>				<u>-</u>	
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE			<u> </u>	#NAME?	#NAME?		<u> </u>
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY	-	-		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
TOTAL EXPENSES	_			#NAME?	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	_
NET INCOME		-	_	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	_

	_			BBO	NV CHART	ED SCHOO	L FOR EXC
	1			БКО		get / Opera	
					Duu	2016-1	
Total Revenue	.	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?		
Total Expenses		_	_	#NAME?	#NAME?		_
Net Income	-	-	-	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment		-	-			-	-
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	Actual	Current Budget (Current Quarter)	ACTUAI VS. Current Budget	Current Budget - TY	Actual vs. Current	Original Budget (Current Quarter)	NCE ANALYSIS Actual vs. Original Budget
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*							
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	-	-	-			-	-
•		-	-				-
•	-	-	<u> </u>				-
	<u> </u>	_		-		<u> </u>	
	-	-	-			-	-
	-	-	-			-	-
-	-	-	-			-	-
	-	-	-				-
•	-	-	-	_		-	-
•	-	-	<u> </u>	-			-
	-					<u> </u>	-
		-	-	1		-	-
	-	-	-	1		-	-
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	-	-	-			-	-
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	_	<u> </u>	_			_	_
REVENUE PER PUPIL			_				
EXPENSES PER PUPIL			_				

ELLENCE

Total Revenue		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Total Expenses		10,630,960	10,630,960	-	
Net Income		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment				-	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Co		S Original	Actual vs. Original	PY ACTUAL (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY	Actual CY vs.
		Budget - TY		Quarters	Actual PY
REVENUE					
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES Per Pupil Revenue	CY Per Pupil Rate				
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?		
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A		
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A		
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A		
_	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
-	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
·	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	-	
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	#NAME?	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	
Special Education Revenue		935,128	(935,128)		
Grants Stimulus					1
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development)			-	-	
Other		348,300	(348,300)		
Other		340,300	(348,300)		
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		#NAME?	#NAME?	_	-
		#INAIVIE?	#INAIVIE ?	-	
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING					
IDEA Special Needs		46,475	(46,475)	-	
Title I		237,076	(237,076)	-	
Title Funding - Other		9,546	(9,546)	-	
School Food Service (Free Lunch)			-	-	
Grants (200) Plusia and discussion					1
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation Other			-	-	
			-	-	
Other		- 202 202	(202.227)	=	-
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES		293,097	(293,097)	-	
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE					
Contributions and Donations		200,000	(200,000)	-	
Fundraising			-	-	
Erate Reimbursement		16,297	(16,297)	-	
Earnings on Investments			-	-	
Interest Income			-	-	
Food Service (Income from meals)		34,704	(34,704)	-	
Text Book		64,379	(64,379)	-	
OTHER					
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES		315,380	(315,380)	-	
TOTAL REVENUE		#NAME?	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	

ELLENCE

Total Revenue		#NAME?	#NAME?		
				-	-
Total Expenses		10,630,960	10,630,960	-	-
Net Income		#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment				-	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Tot Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter (3	Actual vs.	PY ACTUAL (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED	Actual CY
		Original Budget - TY	Original Budget TY	Actual CY Quarters	vs. Actual PY
EXPENSES	Quarter 0				
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	No. of Positions				
Executive Management	#NAME?	-	-	-	-
Instructional Management	#NAME?	518,726	518,726	-	-
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	#NAME?	87,505	87,505	-	-
CFO / Director of Finance	#NAME?	-	-	-	-
Operation / Business Manager	#NAME?	-	-	-	-
Administrative Staff	#NAME?	183,532	183,532	_	_
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	#NAME?	789,763	789,763	-	-
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS					
Teachers - Regular	#NAME?	2,245,957	2,245,957	-	_
Teachers - SPED	#NAME?	671,851	671,851	-	_
Substitute Teachers	#NAME?			-	-
Teaching Assistants	#NAME?	876,302	876,302	-	_
Specialty Teachers	#NAME?	591,248	591,248	-	-
Aides	#NAME?			-	-
Therapists & Counselors	#NAME?	175,426	175,426	-	_
Other	#NAME?	270,120	2.0,.20		_
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	#NAME?	4,560,785	4,560,785		
	WIN THE .	4,000,100	4,000,100		
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS					
Nurse	#NAME?		-	-	-
Librarian	#NAME?		-	-	-
Custodian	#NAME?	83,925	83,925	-	-
Security	#NAME?		-	-	-
Other	#NAME?			<u>-</u>	_
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	#NAME?	83,925	83,925	-	-
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	#NAME?	5,434,473	5,434,473	-	-
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS					
Payroll Taxes		471,686	471,686	-	-
Fringe / Employee Benefits		620,141	620,141	-	-
Retirement / Pension		162,439	162,439		
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		1,254,267	1,254,267	-	-
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	#NAME?	6,688,740	6,688,740	-	-
CONTRACTED SERVICES					
Accounting / Audit		166,371	166,371	-	_
Legal		5,305	5,305	-	-
Management Company Fee		1,598,610	1,598,610	-	-
Nurse Services		-	-	-	-
Food Service / School Lunch			-	-	-
Payroll Services		14,863	14,863	-	-
Special Ed Services			,,,,,,,	-	-
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)			-	-	-
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting		533,905	533,905	-	_
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES		2,319,054	2,319,054		1
TOTAL GONTRACTED SERVICES		2,313,034	۷,515,054	-	

ELLENCE

Total Revenue	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Total Expenses	10,630,960	10,630,960	-	_
Net Income	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment			-	
	5	I		
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance		Actual	PY ACTUAL (PY TY / No. of	
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed		VS.	COMPLETED	Actual CY
	Original	Original	Actual CY	vs.
	Budget - TY	Budget TY	Quarters	Actual PY
SCHOOL OPERATIONS				
Board Expenses	-	-	-	
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	145,443	145,443	-	
Special Ed Supplies & Materials	-	-	-	
Textbooks / Workbooks	64,379	64,379	-	
Supplies & Materials other	-	-	-	
Equipment / Furniture	162,469	162,469	-	
Telephone	33,566	33,566	-	
Technology	25,993	25,993	-	
Student Testing & Assessment	35,000	35,000	-	
Field Trips	21,143	21,143	-	
Transportation (student)	-	-	-	
Student Services - other	148,095	148,095	-	
Office Expense	69,520	69,520	-	
Staff Development	48,533	48,533	-	
Staff Recruitment	-	-	-	
Student Recruitment / Marketing	5,305	5,305	-	
School Meals / Lunch	43,314	43,314	-	
Travel (Staff)	14,891	14,891	-	
Fundraising	-	-	-	
Other	150,439	150,439		_
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	968,088	968,088	-	
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE				
Insurance	69,349	69,349		
Janitorial	35.470	35,470		
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest	33,470	33,470		
Repairs & Maintenance	193.115	193,115		
Equipment / Furniture	193,113	193,113		
Security	61,478	61,478		
Utilities	295,667	295,667		
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	655.078	655,078	<u>-</u>	-
TOTAL FACILITY OF ERATION & INMINITENANCE	000,078	055,078		
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	-	-	-	
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY	-	-	-	
TOTAL EXPENSES	10,630,960	10,630,960	<u>-</u>	
IET INCOME	40100450	#NIAN450		
IET INCOME	#NAME?	#NAME?	<u>-</u>	

	ELLENCE			
Total Revenue	#NAME?	#NIAN4EO		
l otal Revenue		#NAME?		-
Total Expenses	10,630,960	10,630,960		-
Net Income	#NAME?	#NAME?	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment			-	
	5	1		
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance		A -4l	PY ACTUAL (PY	
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed		Actual vs.	TY / No. of COMPLETED	Actual CY
	Original	Original	Actual CY	VS.
	Budget - TY	Budget TY	Quarters	Actual PY
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*				
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE				
NTC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE				_
			<u> </u>	
				_
			_	_
				_
_				_
			_	_
			_	_
			-	-
			-	-
			-	-
			-	-
			-	-
			-	-
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)			-	-
TOTAL ENROLLMENT			_	<u> </u>
				_
REVENUE PER PUPIL			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>

EXPENSES PER PUPIL



Annual Report Requirement

for SUNY Authorized Charter Schools

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE 2016-17

Administrative expenditures per pupil:

\$0.00

Per NYS Statute

Administrative expenditures per pupil: the sum of all general administration salaries and other general administration expenditures divided by the total number of enrolled students. Employee benefit costs or expenditures should not be reported here.

*NOTE: THIS TAB ONLY NEEDS TO BE COMPLETED FOR Q4

	FOR INSTITUTE USE ONLY
]	FILING FOR SCHOOL YEAR:
1	DATE RECEIVED:
Sci	now for Excellence
١	
Ve	asuval

DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A CHARTER SCHOOL TRUSTEE¹

1.	Name of charter school: Bronx Charles School for Excelling
2.	Trustee's name (print): be rdv (Fynn
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): TV (asurer)
	Home address:
5.	Business Address:
6.	Daytime phone:
7.	E-mail:
8.	Is Trustee an employee of the school?YesNo. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.
9	Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your

Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
Please write	"None" if applicabl	e. Do not leave thi	s space blank.

¹ Form Revised May 24, 2006

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during the preceding school year, you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school that is doing business with the school through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the school that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the charter school. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
Please	write "None"	if applicable.	Do not leave this space by	ank.

	MM	6/2/15
Signature	(U	Date

FOR INSTITUTE USE ONLY FILING FOR SCHOOL YEAR:
DATE RECEIVED:
)CHOOLFOR EXCELLENC
HAIR
Science and the second

DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A CHARTER SCHOOL TRUSTEE¹

1.	Name of charter school: BRONX (HARTER SCHOOLFOR EXCE
2.	Trustee's name (print): STACEY LAUREN
	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.):
4.	Home address:
5.	Business Address:
5.	Daytime phone
7.	E-mail:
8.	Is Trustee an employee of the school?YesYou checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.
9.	Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.
- 1	

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
Please write	"None" if applicabl	e. Do not leave thi	s space blank.

¹ Form Revised May 24, 2006

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during the preceding school year, you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school that is doing business with the school through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the school that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the charter school. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
Please	write "None"	if applicable.	Do not leave this space b	ank.

Signature Cause Date

FOR INSTITUTE USE ONLY
FILING FOR SCHOOL YEAR:
DATE RECEIVED:

DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A CHARTER SCHOOL TRUSTEE¹

1.	Name of charter school: Brank Charter School & Excellence
2.	Trustee's name (print): May di Schecter
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.):
4.	Home address
5.	Business Addi
6.	Daytime phon
7.	E-mail:
8.	Is Trustee an employee of the school?YesNo. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.

9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
Please white	"None")if applicabl	e. If a not leave thi	s space blank.

¹ Form Revised May 24, 2006

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during the preceding school year, you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school that is doing business with the school through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the school that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the charter school. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

ecusario e	Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
	Yourkins & Schecter Please LLP	law firm- write "None" ega 800 IUS	Probono if applicable.	MWG, Schecker Do not leave this space b	Pro beno- 'ank.

Signature Jellet 15 Date

Ī	FOR INSTITUTE USE ONLY
	FILING FOR SCHOOL YEAR:
	DATE RECEIVED:
L	od fir Excellere
V	1. P.

DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A CHARTER SCHOOL TRUSTEE¹

	DATE RECEIVED:
1.	Name of charter school: Bronx Charter School Par Excen
2.	Trustee's name (print): Joyce Frost
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.):
4.	Home address:
5.	Business Address
6.	Daytime phone:_
7.	E-mail:
8.	Is Trustee an employee of the school?YesNo. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.

9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
Please write	Www Vif applicabl	e. Do not leave thi	s space blank.

¹ Form Revised May 24, 2006

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during the preceding school year, you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school that is doing business with the school through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the school that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the charter school. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
Please	write "Wonk"	if applicable.	Do not leave this space b	ank.

Signature J

Date

6/2/15



Entry 9 BOT Table

Last updated: 08/01/2016

Page 1

1. Current Board Member Information

	Trustee Name	Email Address	Position on the Board	Committee Affiliations	Voting Member? (Y/N)	Area of Expertise, and/or Additional Role at School (parent, staff member, etc.)	Number of Terms Served and Length of Each (Include election date and term expiration)
1	Stacey Lauren		Chair/Boar d President	Finance, Education and Accountabi lity, Executive	Yes		1 served of 2 year term, expires 6/2/17
2	Mardi Schecter		Vice Chair/Vice President	Executive	Yes	Legal Backgroun d	1 served of 2 year term, expires 6/2/17
3	Deirdre Flynn		Treasurer	Finance, Executive	Yes		1 served of 2 year term, expires 6/2/17
4	Joyce Frost		Vice Chair/Vice President	Finance, Executive	Yes		1 served of 2 year term, expires 6/2/17
5	Tanya Osborne		Parent Representa tive		No		N/A
6							

7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
2. Total Nu53. Total Nu					5-16 Schoo	ol Year	
2		•	J				
4. Total Number of Members Departing the Board during the 2015-16 School Year							
0							
5. Number of Voting Members 2015-16, as set by the by-laws, resolution or minutes							
4							
6. Number	of Board	Meetings (Conducted	in the 201	.5-16 Scho	ol Year	

5

7. Number of Board Meetings Scheduled for the 2016-17 School Year

5

Thank you.

Bronx Charter School for Excellence 2015-2016 Enrollment and Retention Efforts

The mission of the Bronx Charter School for Excellence is to prepare young people to compete for admission to and succeed in top public, private and parochial high schools by cultivating their intellectual, artistic, social, emotional and ethical development. The school accomplishes this by constantly striving to create a supportive and caring environment that at all times has high expectations of its students and offers a challenging and rigorous academic curriculum.

As part of our admission/lottery process every year, we advertise in City News, Bronx Times and Parkchester News. During this time, bilingual staff members from BCSE visit various early childhood education centers to distribute applications and share knowledge about what the school has to offer for students who are English Language Learners (ELL). In addition to the above, our applications are translated in both Spanish and Bengali to reach out to those families who may not completely read, write or speak English. We also plan on translating our applications in other languages as the need arises.

One major concern for parents who are interested in applying for their child, who has a disability, is whether or not we can accommodate their child at BCSE. BCSE mainstreams students with special needs. Additionally, BCSE serves students who have special learning needs and provides services including: Integrated Co-Teaching (ICT), Special Education Teacher Support Services (SETSS), Counseling, Speech, Occupational Therapy and Physical Therapy. There has been small fluctuation in the percentages of ELL and SPED enrollment. SPED has increased over the past three years, while ELL tends to fluctuate year to year. Please see our comparison of the last three academic years below.

	2015-2016	2014-2015	2013-2014	2012-2013
	School Year	School Year	School Year	School Year
English Language	8%	6%	7%	8%
Learners				
Special Education	10%	10.7%	11.8%	11.4%

Initially, once we have enrolled students into BCSE, we find that about 10-15% of the kindergarten population have to be tested through the NYSELAT to determine their level of English proficiency. Through immersion and high quality assistance from the teachers, students are tested out by the time they are in first or second grade.

Our district preference helps us maintain the same families because they live in or around the area. Meanwhile, our sibling preference helps us retain the same families because they do not have to worry about having to find another school to enroll their child in. It is automatic enrollment for existing families. Below, you will see our retention rate for the 2015-2016 school year compared to previous school years.

2015-16 Student Retention Rate

	Number of Students	Number of Students	Retention Rate
2014-15 Enrollment	Who Graduated in	Who Returned in	2015-16 Re-enrollment ÷
	2014-15	2015-16	(2014-15 Enrollment – Graduates)
644	55	562	96%

Additional Evidence:

Year	Retention Rate
2010-11	95%
2011-12	94%
2012-13	97%
2013-14	97%
2014-15	98%
2015-16	96%

We are going to request an amendment to our charter that will add a preference for low income families and families who receive government assistance. This will allow us to reach out to those families who qualify for free and reduced priced lunch.



Entry 12 Teacher and Administrator Attrition

Last updated: 08/01/2016

Report changes in teacher and administrator staffing.

Page 1

Instructions for completing the Teacher and Administrator Attrition Tables

The following tables reflect formatting in the online portal required for Regents authorized charter schools. Schools should provide, for teachers and administrators only, the full time equivalent (FTE) of staff on June 30, 2015; the FTE for added staff from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016; and the FTE for any departed staff from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 using the two tables provided.

2015-16 Teacher Attrition Table

FTE Teachers on June 30, 2015	FTE Teachers Departed 7/1/15 - 6/30/16	FTE Teachers Filling Vacant Positions 7/1/15 - 6/30/16	FTE Teachers Added in New Positions 7/1/15-6/30/16	FTE of Teachers on June 30, 2016
55	17	19	0	56

2015-16 Administrator Position Attrition Table

FTE Administrative Positions on June 30, 2015	FTE Administrators Departed 7/1/15 - 6/30/16	FTE Administrators Filling Vacant Positions 7/1/15 - 6/30/16	FTE Administrators Added in New Positions 7/1/15-6/30/16	FTE Administrative Positions on June 30, 2016
6	1	0	0	5

Thank you

BRONX CHARTER SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE | 2016-2017 CALENDAR

22 – Teacher's Return

	AUGUST 2016										
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S					
	1	2	3	4	5	6					
7	8	9	10	11	12	13					
14	15	16	17	18	19	20					
21	22	23	24	25	26	27					
28	29	30	31								

FEBRUARY 2017 M T W Th F S 2 3 4 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 26 28

20 Presidents' Day 21-24 – Mid-Winter Recess

15 Days of School

5 Labor Day - Closed6 - FIRST DAY OF SCHOOL12 - Eid Al-Adha - Closed

18 Days of school

SEPTEMBER 2016										
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S				
				1	2	3				
4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17				
18	19	20	21	22	23	24				
25	26	27	28	29	30					

MARCH 2017 S M T W Th S F 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 6 12 15 13 14 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 31

23 Days of School

3-4 - Rosh Hashanah - Closed

10 Columbus Day31 Halloween

18 Days of School

OCTOBER 2016										
S	S M T W Th F									
						1				
2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15				
16	17	18	19	20	21	22				
23	24	25	26	27	28	29				
30	31									

APRIL 2017									
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S			
						1			
2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
9	10	11	12	13	14	15			
16	17	18	19	20	21	22			
23	24	25	26	27	28	29			
30									

10-18 - Spring Recess14 Good Friday

13 Days of School

8 – Election Day - Closed

11 Veterans Day24 Thanksgiving Day

18 Days of School

NOVEMBER 2016										
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S				
		1	2	3	4	5				
6	7	8	9	10	11	12				
13	14	15	16	17	18	19				
20	21	22	23	24	25	26				
27	28	29	30							

MAY 2017									
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S			
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
7	8	9	10	11	12	13			
14	15	16	17	18	19	20			
21	22	23	24	25	26	27			
28	29	30	31						

29 Memorial Day

22 Days of School

25-30 Christmas Recess

17 Days of School

DECEMBER 2016										
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S				
				1	2	3				
4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17				
18	19	20	21	22	23	24				
25	26	27	28	29	30	31				

JUNE 2017									
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S			
				1	2	3			
4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
11	12	13	14	15	16	17			
18	19	20	21	22	23	24			
25	26	27	28	29	30				

12 – Clerical Day – Closed 23 – Last day of school

16 Days of School

2 - No School16 M.L. King Day

20 Days of School

JANUARY 2017										
S	М	T	W	Th	F	S				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
8	9	10	11	12	13	14				
15	16	17	18	19	20	21				
22	23	24	25	26	27	28				
29	30	31								

180 Total School Days